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Reconstruction of the soft tissue defects of hand and wrist with ulnar artery perforator flap—presentation of case series
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ABSTRACT

Reconstruction of soft tissue defect of hand and wrist is a common problem to plastic surgeon. I reconstructed the defect, both dorsal and palmar surface with a perforator-based flap from ulnar artery. The aim of the present study is to evaluate ulnar artery perforator flap in soft tissue defect of hand and wrist. Between January 2018 to February 2021, seven cases of skin defect were reconstructed with a perforator-based flap from ulnar artery, either pedicled or propeller type flap. The study was done at NRS medical college, Kolkata, where I have evaluated the viability of the flap, post-operative complication and patient’s satisfaction. All flaps survived, only in one case there was marginal necrosis that was treated conservatively. There was no post-operative complication, all flaps were doing well in six month and one year and one and half year follow up and patient’s satisfactions were also good. Ulnar artery perforator based fasciocutaneous flap, pedicled or propeller provides a reliable coverage of soft tissue defects of hand as well as wrist.
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INTRODUCTION

Soft tissue defect of hand and wrist is a common problem but occasionally poses a difficult reconstructive challenge. Distant pedicled flaps from the groin, trunk, at one time were the main stay of reconstruction that requires at least two stages with an intervening period of immobilization and dependency. The development of micro-vascular techniques draws us to a new era but requires expertise and resource. Reconstruction using adjacent tissue is preferable, and as such radial artery perforator flap is most commonly used. Accordingly, there is a vast amount of literature on radial artery perforator flap, whereas reports on ulnar artery perforator flaps are less.1-12 Ulnar forearm fasciocutaneous flap based on ulnar artery perforator can be used as a pedicled or propeller flap to cover the defect both on the dorsal and palmar surface of the hand. The aim of the present study is to describe the use of ulnar artery perforator flap for coverage of defect of hand and wrist, its effectiveness, and patient’s compliance.

CASE SERIES

The study was conducted at department of plastic surgery, NRS medical college, Kolkata. From January 2018 to February 2021 seven cases of skin defect of hand and wrist were reconstructed with distally based ulnar artery perforator flap. Four cases were male and three cases were female, age ranging between twenty-six to sixty years and follow up at one month, three-month, six month and one year and one and half year period. Aetiologies were post traumatic (RTA), post electric burn, post infective, and following excision of soft tissue tumour.
Case 1

A 38-year male patient presented with defect at right wrist on dorsum extending from ulnar border to midline following RTA, the defect was resurfaced with distal ulnar pedicled perforator flap and donar area covered with skin graft. The flap was good in post-operative period and in 18 months follow up.

Figure 1 (A and B): Defect on dorsum of hand and defect covered by ulnar artery perforator flap and donar area covered by skin graft.

Case 2

A 45-year male patient presented with ulcer on right palm near base of hypothenar eminence 3×2 cm, developed an infection around this area following a mild trauma that was not properly treated initially. The ulcerated area was covered by a pedicled ulnar perforator flap and donar area was closed primarily. The area healed well and flap was in good condition after 2 years follow up.

Figure 2 (A and B): Defect at base of hypothenar eminence and defect covered with ulnar artery perforator flap and donar defect primarily closed.

Case 3

A 60-year female patient presented with growth in center of palm near wrist joint. There was a defect of 5×5 cm following excision of growth. The area was covered with ulnar perforator propellar flap and donar area was resurfaced by skin grafting. The flap survived completely and patient was in good condition even after 1 year follow up.
Case 4

A 42-year female patient with ulcer on ulnar border of wrist following an infection, defect was 4×3 cm that was covered with ulnar perforator propellar flap, donar area was closed by skin grafting. There was marginal necrosis which was healed with secondary intention.

Figure 4: Defect on ulnar border of wrist covered by ulnar artery perforator flap—there is necrosis of the distal margin.

Case 5

A 26-year female patient presented with ulcer on ulnar border of hand following an electric burn, the defect was 4×2 cm. It was covered with pedicled ulnar perforator flap and donar area by skin grafting. The patient was well in 18 months follow up.

Figure 5: Ulnar artery perforator flap covering the defect and donar area skin grafted.

Case 6

A 35-year male patient presented with a defect of 5×3 cm dorsum of wrist and hand towards ulnar border following an electric burn. The area was covered with distal ulnar pedicled perforator flap. The donar area was skin grafted. The flap was good in 18 months follow up.

Figure 6: Defect on dorsum of hand covered with pedicled ulnar perforator flap and donar area covered by skin graft.
Case 7

A 33-year male patient with defect on dorsum of wrist 4×3 cm following an RTA that was covered with ulnar artery perforator flap and donor area covered with skin grafting. The flap was good up to 1 year follow up.

Figure 7: Defect on dorsum of wrist covered with ulnar perforator flap.

RESULT

In all cases except one, the flaps survived completely without any vascular crisis. In one case there was marginal necrosis that was treated by removal of sutures and dressings. The follow up period was three months to eighteen months. All patients were satisfied with coverage and function of the hand. All though cosmetic results of operation were excellent in two cases, good in three cases, and fair in two cases.

DISCUSSION

Many surgical techniques are available for reconstruction of soft tissue defect of hand and wrist. These include pedicled local, regional and distant flaps. Loco-regional flaps are reverse radial forearm flap, retrograde radial artery perforator flap, retrograde posterior interosseous artery flap, ulnar artery perforator flap.

Reverse radial forearm flap provides a reliable skin paddle, but requires the sacrifice of a major artery, a poor tissue match in terms of bulk and color for tissue replacement in the hand. Also, there is a conspicuous donor site which can be subjected to poor skin graft take and delayed healing.

Retrograde radial artery perforator flap is based on the scpectocutaneous perforators from the radial artery and a longitudinally oriented adipofascial plexus in the distal forearm that in turn is supplied by perforators of distal radial artery, forms the vascular pedicle of the flap. Because retrograde flow is dependent on a plexus rather than major vascular axis, the maximum dimension of the flap that can be reliably transferred are smaller than the true axial flap.

The distally based posterior interosseous artery flap is good for hand defect, particularly defect on dorsum of hand. But the dissection of the vascular pedicle is frequently quite complex and tedious. If the distal perforators of the posterior interosseous artery and its branches are injured, the flap should be abandoned.

Ulnar artery perforator flap is a good option for coverage of hand and wrist defect. There is no sacrifice of major vascular axis, quick and easy to perform. It is distally based, can be pedicled or propeller. The propeller flap is a local island fasciocutaneous flap, based on a single dissected perforator, so that it can be rotated up to 180° to cover the defect that allows more distal reach of the flap.

Distant pedicle flaps including groin, hypogastric, abdominal flaps, provide enough tissue for reconstruction but involves multiple stages of operation and prolonged immobilization and dependency.

Free flap is also a good option for coverage but it requires a technically demanding microsurgical procedure which is time consuming. On the contrary ulnar artery perforator flap is easy to harvest, less time consuming, so surgeon can opt to perform a local perforator flap instead of time-consuming microsurgical flap.

A disadvantage of ulnar artery perforator flap is location of the scar on the weight bearing surface of the forearm, which can be minimized by raising the flap suprafascially and grafting the donor area with full thickness skin graft.

CONCLUSION

The distally based ulnar artery perforator flap is a safe, reliable technique for closure of hand and wrist defect. It provides a single stage reconstruction without the sacrifice of a major artery of the forearm. It is easy to perform and also less time consuming.
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