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Step up approach for the management of late presentation of pancreatic trauma patients
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ABSTRACT

Background: Pancreatic injuries are usually subtle to identify by different diagnostic imaging modalities and these injuries are often overlooked in cases with extensive multi-organ trauma. Our study was conducted to evaluate the outcome of a step-up approach in the management of pancreatic trauma patients with late presentation.

Methods: The study included 15 patients who presented with grade III AAST delayed presentation of pancreatic trauma from September 2017 to 2019. In our step-up approach 2 staged procedure was done, firstly laparotomy with necrosectomy along with closure of proximal pancreatic duct with external drainage of pancreatic duct, in second stage Roux-en-Y pancreaticojejunostomy was done to drain the remnant pancreas as a definitive procedure 3 to 6 months after initial procedure.

Results: In our study, blunt trauma abdomen (83.30%) (n=10) was the most common mode of injury. The main reason for delay in diagnosis of pancreatic trauma was delayed presentation of patient (83.3%) (n=10). Total of 4 patients were admitted to intensive care unit (ICU) at the time of admission. 10 patients underwent both the stages of step-up approach management among which one patient had pancreatic fistula as a complication (n=1) (10%) and one patient had post-operative abscess as a complication (n=1) (10%). No mortality was noted in our study.

Conclusions: The step-up approach discussed above proves to be beneficial towards management of patients with delayed presentation of pancreatic trauma in our setting.
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INTRODUCTION

The pancreas is a relatively uncommon organ to be injured in trauma, occurring in less than 2% of blunt trauma cases, and this injury is associated with considerably high morbidity and mortality in cases of delayed diagnosis, incorrect classification of the injury, or delays in treatment.\(^1\)\(^2\) Mortality for pancreatic injuries ranges from 9% to 34%; however, only 5% of the pancreatic injuries are directly related to the fatal outcome. Physical examination is usually not reliable in the setting of acute pancreatic trauma.\(^3\) Early and accurate diagnosis can decrease morbidity and mortality, and various imaging modalities play a key role in recognition of pancreatic injuries.\(^4\)\(^5\)

Knowledge about the mechanisms of pancreatic injury, the presence of coexisting injuries, the time to diagnosis, the presence or absence of major ductal injury, and the roles of various imaging modalities is essential for prompt, early and accurate diagnosis. Early detection of disruption of the main pancreatic duct is of paramount importance because such disruption is the main cause of delayed complications like pseudo pancreatic cyst.\(^6\)
most common site of traumatic pancreatic injury is at the
junction of the body and tail. Significant pancreatic injury
may occur in the absence of abnormality on various
imaging modalities.

Pancreatic trauma occurs commonly in connection with
multiple injuries after motor vehicle accidents in adults
and bicycle handlebar injuries in children. Conservative
management is mainly advocated for pancreatic trauma
without ductal injuries. Computed tomography (CT) is
routinely used as the first-line imaging modality in acute
abdominal trauma cases and is helpful in recognizing
injuries to the pancreas and other organs and their
associated complications. Ultrasonography (US) is
useful in cases of pancreatic ascites and pseudocyst
formation, which are more likely to occur in cases with
traumatic pancreatitis. Magnetic resonance
cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) allows direct imaging
of the pancreatic duct and its disruption.

Pancreatic injuries are classified and graded according to
the damage to the pancreatic parenchyma and the ductal
system. Grading of pancreatic injuries enables an exact
description of injuries, can influence management, and
allows a comparison of outcomes and effective quality
control of treatment. There are several classification
systems of traumatic pancreatic injuries, but the
pancreatic organ injury scale (OIS) proposed by the
American association for the surgery of trauma (AAST)
fulfils most of these criteria and at present is the
universally accepted classification scheme.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Injury</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Hematoma</td>
<td>Minor contusion without ductal injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Laceration</td>
<td>Superficial laceration without ductal injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Hematoma</td>
<td>Major contusion without ductal injury or tissue loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Laceration</td>
<td>Major laceration without ductal injury or tissue loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Laceration</td>
<td>Distal transection or pancreatic parenchymal injury with ductal injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Laceration</td>
<td>Proximal transection or pancreatic parenchymal injury involving the ampulla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Laceration</td>
<td>Massive disruption of the pancreatic head</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reproduced from Campbell et al.

Our study was conducted to evaluate the outcome of a
step-up approach in the management of pancreatic trauma
patients with respect to morbidity, mortality and
reestablishment of normal pancreatic duct anatomy.

METHODS

The study was carried out in P.G. department of surgery,
M.L.N. medical college, Allahabad from September 2017
to 2019 which included 15 patients who presented with
grade III AAST delayed presentation of pancreatic trauma.
The diagnosis of pancreatic trauma and duct disruption was supported and done by CECT abdomen.

Study description

Our conducted study is a facility based prospective
observational study which was conducted over a period of
2 years, from 1 September 2017 to 31 September 2019
in post-graduate department of surgery, M.L.N. medical
college, Allahabad (U.P.). Patients who presented with
grade III AAST classified pancreatic trauma were
included in our study. In total of 15 patients were
included in the study having grade III pancreatic trauma.

Our step-up approach included two stage intervention. In
the first stage laparotomy with necrosectomy done along
with closure of proximal pancreatic duct with non-
absorbable suture with external drainage of pancreatic
duct, in the second stage Roux-en-Y
pancreaticojejunostomy was done to drain the remnant
pancreas as a definitive procedure 3 to 6 months after
initial procedure.

The patients were followed up for a period of minimum 6
months in order to note the complications and the
outcome of the step-up approach done. A total of 15
patients were enrolled in the study satisfying the
inclusion criteria with the late presentation of pancreatic trauma with associated duct disruption among which
three patients were lost to follow up after the initial
procedure of necrosectomy and did not underwent
definitive procedure. 2 patients are currently in the
awaited group for the definitive procedure.

![Figure 1: CECT whole abdomen preoperatively showing pancreatic duct injury.](image-url)
RESULTS

In our study, majority of patients were male (75%) (n=9) and females constituting to 25% of study population.

Table 2: Distribution of patients according to gender.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Study population N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>9 (75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>3 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In our study, majority of the patients were in the age group of 31 to 40 years with average age of the patient was 35±10 years.

The most common presenting complaint of the patient of late pancreatic trauma was abdominal pain constituting 75% of the total study population with nausea and vomiting being the second most common presenting complaint followed by dyspnoea.

Table 3: Distribution of patients according to age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Study population N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>9 (75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>1 (8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>1 (8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>1 (8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In our study, blunt trauma abdomen was the most common mode of injury of the pancreatic trauma constituting to 83.3%. The main reason for delay in diagnosis of pancreatic trauma was delayed presentation of patient constituting as 83.3% (n=10) of the total study population.

Table 4: Distribution of patients according to mode of injury.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of injury</th>
<th>Study population N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blunt trauma</td>
<td>10 (83.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penetrating trauma</td>
<td>1 (8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1 (8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In our study total of 4 patients had coexisting medical disorders out of 12 patients with 2 patients having cardiovascular disorder and 2 having pulmonary disorders.

Table 5: Distribution of patients according to coexisting medical disorder.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coexisting medical disorder</th>
<th>Study population N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular disorders</td>
<td>2 (16.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary disorders</td>
<td>2 (16.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Distribution of patient according to grade of ASA on admission.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade of ASA on admission</th>
<th>Study population N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade I</td>
<td>11 (91.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade III</td>
<td>1 (8.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In our study majority of patients were in grade I ASA classification of the patient constituting 91.6% of the total study population.

Table 7: Complications of step up approach.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>Study population N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic fistula</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abscess</td>
<td>1 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2/10 (20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Out of total study population of 12 patients, 10 patients underwent both the stages of step up approach management among which one of the patients had pancreatic fistula as a complication (n=1) (10%) and one patient had post-operative abscess as a complication (n=1) (10%).

One patient among the 2 patients in the awaited group had complaint of prolonged pancreatic discharge for more than 5 and half months as a complication (Figure 2 below). Prolonged pancreatic discharge subsided on its own without any active intervention.

Figure 2: Prolonged discharge from drain site.

DISCUSSION

Traumatic pancreatic injuries are rarely isolated to occur and are often associated with other intra-abdominal injuries. The choice of surgical procedure depends on the degree and site of the injury, and several surgical treatment options can be considered for the
Pancreatoduodenal injury according to the severity of the injury.

Pancreatoduodenal injuries, although relatively rare, have a significant morbidity (36-60%) and mortality (18-23%). Similarly according to the study conducted by Recinos et al and Kao et al pancreatic trauma is associated with significant overall mortality and a complication rate of more than 60%. 

CT is the imaging study of choice in patients with hemodynamically stable closed trauma. Both the sensitivity and the specificity of the test are around 85% for the detection of pancreatic injury. However, the sensitivity to detect duct injury is more limited (43%–54%). A disadvantage of the technique is the need to determine the ideal moment, because immediately after blunt trauma the pancreas may appear normal in 20%–40% of cases, and if it is performed after the first 24 h the lesion may go unnoticed due to the absence of inflammatory reaction.

In our study, majority of patients were male (75%) (n=9) and the average age of the patient was 35±10 years. which is concurrent with the study conducted by Vasquez et al, Akhrass et al, and Cogbill et al where the age is less than 40 years in 80% of the cases and most of the patients are males.

Our study data is also concurrent with the study conducted by Chinnery et al where the average age is 30.1±9.6 years.

In a study conducted by Benjamin et al total of 30 patients were analyzed with the mean age being 38±17 years and majority of them were male (74%) which is concurrent with our study.

The study conducted by Ragulin et al 27,216 nationally weighted patients with pancreatoduodenal trauma were admitted in which nearly three-quarters of this cohort (73.6%) were male and the mean age of the patients was 37.7 years which is concurrent to our study.

Out of the 12 patients who were analyzed in our study most of the patient (n=10) (83.3%) had blunt trauma to the abdomen as the most common mode of injury leading to pancreatic duct injury which is concurrent with the study conducted by Menahem et al, Wisner et al. In the review conducted by Petrone et al, the mechanism of injury in 1236 cases reviewed: 59.8% were due to a blunt mechanism, and 40.2% a penetrating mechanism which is concurrent to our study similarly in the study conducted by Ragulin et al blunt trauma abdomen was the most common mode of injury.

In the cases where diagnosis and treatment are delayed >24 h, mortality increases to 40% as compared with 11% for those diagnosed <24 h. Two-thirds of the patients who survive more than 48 hours end up with complications, and 37% of deaths are late and are related to complications such as fistula, abscess, anastomotic breakdown, pancreatitis, pseudocysts and pneumonia.

There has been a significant change in the approach to the management of pancreatic injuries from complex procedures and towards simple drainage. Drainage with the subsequent formation of a controlled pancreatic fistula carries a low mortality, has an acceptable morbidity and is preferred over complex procedures.

In our study complication rate was found to be 20% (n=2/10) where one patient developed pancreatic fistula and one patient developed postoperative abscess which is comparable to the study conducted by Wisner et al where the complication rate was 25%. However, in a study conducted by Chinnery et al 49% of the patients developed complications and 23% of patients died.

In a study conducted by Ragulin et al the most common complications were pulmonary compromise and infectious complications. In our study the incidence of pancreatic complication of pancreatic fistula and post-operative abscess formation stands equally at 10% each.

The incidence of pancreatic fistula (PF) ranges greatly due to the variability of definitions among authors. The international study group for pancreatic fistula proposed as a definition the abnormal communication between the pancreatic duct epithelium and another epithelial surface, and in the case of it being postoperative or after trauma, exteriorization through the drain tube. The discharge is any measurable volume after the third day post-operative, with a level of amylase 3 times higher than in serum, and no need for radiological confirmation. The incidence of PF observed in study conducted by Petrone et al was 11%. According to the experience of Young et al more PF occur during management with only drainage than after procedures with resection. Major ductal injury is the principal determinant of outcome for patients with pancreatic trauma.

CONCLUSION

The step-up approach discussed above proves to be beneficial towards management of patients with delayed presentation of pancreatic trauma in our setting. With no mortality and easily manageable complications the step-up approach can prove to be breakthrough in the management of pancreatic trauma patients. Although further multicenter studies including large sample size can further substantiate this approach and results studied in the long term of follow up.
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