INTRODUCTION

Anal fissure is a common benign ano-rectal condition associated with significant morbidity. It presents as a linear ulcer in the lower anal canal, which in 85% to 90% of cases is in the midline posteriorly, in 10-15% is in midline anteriorly and lateral positions being least frequently encountered.\(^1\) When examined under anaesthesia, it appears as a longitudinal tear in the anal canal mucosa and ano-derm below the dentate line. When traction is applied on the sides of the anal canal, the fissure appears to be triangular in shape, with its apex near the dentate line and base towards the anal verge.

Aetiologically anal fissures can either be primary (idiopathic) or secondary. The primary variant is the more common presentation. Secondary fissures arise in the presence of primary pathological conditions like Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis, other granulomatous diseases, cancers and human immunodeficiency virus.\(^1,2\) The presence of lesions in atypical positions and/or multiple lesions point towards a secondary aetiology.

The first effective method found to be beneficial for treating anal fissures was manual anal dilatation. The first recorded instance of this technique was by Récamier.\(^3,4\) Thereafter there have been on and off periods of its
popularity. Lord performed this procedure on a large number of patients. He put forward this technique primarily for the treatment of second and third degree haemorrhoids and it was also used as a more aggressive means of treating chronic anal fissures. Watts et al showed favourable results in their case series, leading to its renewed popularity. Anal dilatation is still practiced in some hospitals. There are quite a few reasons why this operation is still being used, the foremost of these being the simplicity and the relatively short learning curve.

There have been various studies done in the past with varying conclusions. In this study we aim to present our experiences in managing chronic anal fissures by manual anal dilatation in order to clarify the role of this method in a modern surgical setup.

METHODS

This prospective study was conducted in the Surgical Department of Dr. Munir Bangi Hospital, Bijapur, Karnataka.

A total of 55 patients with chronic anal fissure who underwent manual anal dilatation for a period of 12 months between March 2016 and March 2017 were included in this study. Out of these 43 were male and 12 were female patients. All clinically diagnosed patients of chronic anal fissure between 20 and 60 years of age, of either sex were included.

Ethical clearance for the study was taken from the hospital board. All the patients were individually explained about the study and consented.

A detailed history was taken which included duration of pain along with other associated symptoms like constipation, bleeding per rectum, discharge and soiling, sentinels pile and previous treatment received. Anal examination was performed and all data about the anal fissure recorded. Criteria as set by The Standard Task Force, American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons to identify chronic anal fissures were used. Fissures failing to heal within six weeks despite straightforward dietary measures, fissures with indurated margins and lack of granulation tissue with secondary features like sentinel skin tag, hypertrophied anal papilla were termed as chronic anal fissures.

Manual uncontrolled anal dilation was performed on all of the patients under general or spinal anaesthesia. The patients were placed in lithotomy position. Clinical examination and digital rectal examination were performed again before proceeding with the surgery. A fully lubricated index finger of each hand was placed in the anal canal after one and other. Then exerting gentle but continuous outward pressure and with gradual relaxation of the internal sphincter, the middle finger of each hand was also placed in the anal canal. During this procedure the hands repeatedly moved all around in order to relax all the segments of the lower part of the internal sphincter. The procedure was stopped when the internal anal sphincter was so much relaxed that the anal canal was accepting four fingers (two fingers of each hand) at a time without much force.

Post operatively the patients were kept nil orally till their full recovery from the anaesthesia. Effective postoperative analgesia was ensured. Warm water Sitz baths three to four times daily were started from the next morning. After their discharge from hospital patients were followed up on weekly basis for 6 weeks. They were also advised to have high intake of vegetables, high fibre diet and 10 ml of olive oil daily. During every visit any change in symptoms and signs of patients were recorded.

RESULTS

Out of the 55 patients, 43 (78.2%) were male and 12 (21.8%) were female (Figure 1), male to female ratio was 3.58: 1, with age range between 20 to 60 years. The fissure was posteriorly located at 6 o'clock position in 44 (80%) patients and anteriorly located at 12 o'clock in 8 (14.5%) patients (Figure 2). The presenting complaints in these patients were painful defaecation and constipation in all 55 patients, bleeding per rectum in 49, discharge per rectum in 25, sentinel piles or skin tags in 30 and pruritus ani in 13 patients (Figure 3).
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Figure 3: Symptoms at presentation.

Figure 4: Post-operative complications.

Analysis of the result of the operation (anal dilatation) was based on the symptomatic improvement and the healing of the fissure (epithelialization of the ulcer). These were carried out on a weekly basis for duration of six weeks; the mean stay in the hospital following anal dilatation was 1.5 days, the duration of the regression of the symptoms ranged from post-operative day 1 to post-operative day 28.

The duration of healing of the ulcer ranged from post-operative day 2 to 6th post-operative week, the mortality during the period of the study was nil (0%). By the end of the 6th week we note that only 37 patients (67.3%) had no symptoms with complete healing of the ulcer, in the remaining 18 (32.7%) patients, 1 (1.8%) was having some degree of incontinence for stool and flatus, 3 (5.5%) patients had incontinence for flatus only, 12 (21.8%) patients had persisting ulcer and 2 (3.6%) patients developed signs and symptoms of recurrent anal fissure after healing in the 4th post-operative week (Figure 4).

DISCUSSION

Amongst benign ano-rectal diseases, anal fissure is one of the more commonly encountered conditions. In most acute cases, complete healing could be achieved by conservative or medical treatment.9,10 The treatment of chronic cases though, is still a very contentious topic.11 In the vast majority of chronic cases though, surgical intervention is invariably warranted due to its immediate benefit by relief of symptoms and better healing rates.11 These cases are associated with significant morbidity and are difficult to manage. The objective of surgical treatment is to decrease lower anal tone as a consequence of which there will be better healing.

Surgical intervention could be in the form of manual dilatation (uncontrolled and controlled) or sphincterotomy.

Manual anal dilatation gained renewed popularity in the 1960s after it showed promising results in a number of studies.7 A randomised controlled trial done by Marby et al showed that manual anal dilatation gave better results than lateral subcutaneous sphincterotomy (LSS).12 Yet again in a comparative study done in 1989 by Giebel et al., anal dilatation was stated to be superior to LSS.13 Numerous studies were done showing consistent success rates in the range of 87% to 100%, but with inconsistent recurrence rates ranging from 0-56%.14

In the present series we found that anal dilation resulted in complete healing in only 67.3% of the patients, this is much lower than what previous studies have shown.12,15-17 The rates of complications are consistent with those cited in previous series.6,18,19 The discrepancy noted in literature could be due to high inter operator variability. Another point of interest to be noted is that in our series the male to female ratio was found to be much higher than what is cited in literature.17,19 Further demographic studies need to be undertaken to find out if this is significant.

There is no single reliable way to standardize uncontrolled manual anal dilatation and both the external and internal anal sphincter can be disrupted and damaged in an irregular manner. Endosonographic studies done by Speakman et al and by Nielsen et al have shown that in most of the patients there would be irregular disruption of the anal sphincter.20, 21

Nowadays the most widely accepted surgical modality for treating chronic anal fissures is lateral internal sphincterotomy or fissurectomy.22 LSS was first introduced by Notaras et al, since then numerous studies have shown it to be superior to uncontrolled anal
dilatation having lesser rates of post-operative incontinence and recurrence.4,15,23

CONCLUSION

Manual anal dilatation is without doubt a simple and easy procedure, and can give immediate relief to patients suffering from chronic anal fissures. But the high rates of complications associated with this procedure increase the morbidity of this chronic malady further and in the long run increase patient re-attendance rates, discomfort, economic burden and most of all affect the patient psycho-socially. Taking into consideration the various studies done before and the findings of this series, manual anal dilatation should be completely abandoned in favour of other surgical modalities like LSS. Regular surgical audits should be undertaken in departments to ensure that practices match internationally accepted standards.
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