Managing iatrogenic tracheal injury during esophagectomy
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ABSTRACT

Iatrogenic tracheal injuries are uncommon, but potentially lethal and associated with significant morbidity. During esophagectomy the proximity of the trachea to esophagus makes it vulnerable to injury. The reported incidence of tracheal injury during esophagectomy ranges between 1–5%. Various methods for repairing tracheal injuries have been described in the literature. Most preferred mode of repair described is the reinforcement of the primary repair with flap cover. Most common autologous flaps used are pericardium, pleura, extra thoracic muscle flaps and intercostal muscle flaps. Other described methods for repairing tracheal injuries are primary repair without buttressing, and buttressing with gastric conduit serosal patch, graft and glue. In this study we reviewed different methods and outcome of repair described in the literature and our experienced of managing three cases.
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INTRODUCTION

Iatrogenic tracheal injuries are rare, but potentially lethal and associated with significant morbidity. The first report of an iatrogenic tracheal injury was by Goldstein in 1949. Iatrogenic tracheal injuries may occur following endotracheal intubations, mediastinoscopy, percutaneous tracheostomy and mediastinal surgical interventions. The outcome depends on prompt recognition of the injury intra-operatively and immediate repair. The potential benefits of surgery are closure of the defect to facilitate effective ventilation, prevent mediastinitis due to contamination from non-sterile airways and prevent strictures and their complications of the tracheobronchial tree.

Various methods for repairing tracheal injuries have been described in the literature. Most preferred mode of repair described is the reinforcement of the primary repair with autologous flap cover. Most common autologous flaps used are pericardium, pleura, extra thoracic muscle flaps and intercostal muscle flaps. Others described methods for repairing tracheal injuries are primary repair without buttressing, and buttressing with gastric conduit serosal patch, graft and glue. In this study we reviewed different methods and outcome of repair described in the literature and our experienced of managing three cases.

DISCUSSION

Unlike tracheobronchial injury that results from blunt trauma, most iatrogenic injuries to the airway involve longitudinal tears to the back of the trachea or tears on the side that pull the membranous part of the trachea away from the cartilage. During esophagectomy the proximity of the trachea to esophagus makes it vulnerable to injury. The reported incidence of tracheal injury during esophagectomy ranges between 1–5%. The incidence following double lumen intubations is between 0.12% to 0.26% in the literature.
Different modes of injury to the trachea described in the literature are direct surgical injury, fistulisation due to local peritracheal infection, ischemic injury secondary to extensive dissection or endotracheal cuff. We have experienced of 3 cases of iatrogenic tracheal injury in our institute following direct surgical injury in 2 cases (Figure 1) and endotracheal cuff injury during double lumen intubation in one case (Figure 2).

Most of the injuries described in literature were in the range of 1-5 cm in size which was similar in our cases. Described risk factors for iatrogenic tracheal injuries in a carcinoma esophageal patient are preoperative chemo-radiotherapy, extensive lymph node dissection, peritumoral infection and abscess formation, and advanced tumors located above or at the level of the carina and trans-hiatal esophagectomy (blunt esophagectomy). But two large trials did not show any difference when the incidence was compared between transhiatal esophagectomy and transthoracic esophagectomy.

The described surgical approaches for managing iatrogenic tracheal injury are transcervical, transthoracic or median sternotomy. In our study, all the three cases are managed by thoracotomy approach in one case (Figure 1), sternotomy approach in one case and transcervical approach in one case (Figure 2).

Most commonly used method of repair described in the literature is reinforcement of the primary repair with some autologous flap cover. Most common autologous flaps described in literature are pericardium, pleura, extrathoracic muscle flaps and intercostal muscle flaps. Others described methods for repairing tracheal injuries are primary repair only without buttressing, and buttressing with gatric serosal patch, graft and glue.

In our series, repaired was done using gatric conduit serosal patch buttressing in cervical tracheal injury, using pleural patch buttressing in one case and primary repair without buttressing (Figure 1) in one case. All surgical procedures proved to be effective in our study. There was no mortality or morbidity in the perioperative period. On follow up there was no signs of tracheobronchial stenosis.

CONCLUSION

In summary, iatrogenic tracheal injuries are rare complications following esophagectomy. Most commonly used method of repair is reinforcement of the primary repair with pericardium, pleura, extrathoracic muscle flaps and intercostal muscle flaps. Others described methods are primary repair without buttressing, and buttressing with gatric conduit serosal patch, graft or glue. In our experience of three cases we have found that the techniques are equally effective and successful both in primary repair without buttressing and using buttressing with gastric conduit serosal patch and pleural patch.
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