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Incidence and implications of spleniculi: a five-year experience
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ABSTRACT

Background: Spleniculi or accessory spleen, is a congenital anomaly occurring in 10% of individuals. Spleniculi resembles normal spleen in structure and in immunological function. Certain hematological and radiological investigations can indicate residual splenic function. They can enlarge following splenectomy and be the source of recurrent symptoms in those operated on for hematological disorders.

Methods: Authors did a retrospective study in a tertiary care hospital to estimate the incidence and to describe the location and number of spleniculi in patients undergoing splenectomy, both elective and emergency, over a 5-year period - October 2013 to October 2018. Total patients included was 186.

Results: Majority of the patients were in the age group of 18-45 years. 16 (8.6%) had spleniculi, found incidentally and confirmed histopathologically. Hematological disorders, out of which idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura 70 (49.6%) was the most common indication. Commonest location was in the hilum, 12 (75%), second being, near the tail of pancreas. 1 patient presented with a left hypochondriac mass, which was confirmed as enlarged spleniculi on histopathology, post-relook surgery.

Conclusions: Accessory spleens are asymptomatic and have a high chance of being overlooked during splenectomy, which could be one of the causes of relapse in hematological disorders.
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INTRODUCTION

Ectopic splenic tissue can be either congenital or acquired. Spleniculi or accessory spleen, is a congenital anomaly occurring in 10% of individuals.1 On the other hand, splenosis or auto-transplantation of splenic tissue, incidence of 67%, occurs when cells from a damaged spleen seed elsewhere on the peritoneal surface or omentum, during splenectomy or trauma, and grow into nodules of differentiated splenic tissue with varying size.

Accessory spleen derives their blood supply from branches of the splenic artery. This is in contrast to splenosis nodules, which receive their blood supply from newly formed arteries penetrating the capsule. The splenosis nodules are usually numerous (>400) and can be intra- or extra-peritoneal. Spleniculi may be solitary or multiple, seldom >6 and are more commonly involved in hematological disorders of the spleen. Embryologically, during the 5th week, spleen arises in the dorsal mesogastrium as the mesenchymal tissue. Incomplete fusion of splenic buds causes accessory spleens to develop.2 Common sites of occurrence include the splenic hilum (75%) and the 2nd most common being near pancreatic tail (20%).3

Haematological tests that indicate hyposplenism post-splenectomy include: increased pitted red cell count (red blood cells with membrane abnormalities), presence of...
Howell Jolly body (persistent nuclear fragments in red blood cells), monocytosis, lymphocytosis and thrombocytosis. Others are a reduced IgM, properdin, tuftsin and opsonin. Increase in tuftsin activity after splenectomy has been found to correlate with residual splenic function. Abdominal USG and CT are readily available diagnostic tests. Drawbacks are that the nature of accessory spleens resembles tumours of adjacent organs or metastasis. Technetium (Tc 99m) labelled radionuclide scan, is a highly specific investigation, with the disadvantage of not being readily available and cost. Another limitation is that hepatic uptake of technetium obscures the presence of splenic foci in the upper abdomen. Splenic artery doppler sonography, is based on the principle of measurement of vascular resistance (RI) of the splenic artery as described by Herneth et al. Complete clearance of splenic tissue caused the RI value to increase. The RI values in splenosis, is similar to non-splenectomized patients and is reduced.

Accessory spleens can enlarge following splenectomy and be the source of recurrent symptoms in those operated on for haematological disorders. Accessory spleens may be overlooked during splenectomy. Consequently, a second splenectomy may be necessary. Most patients are asymptomatic, therefore, it becomes important to establish a proper and a meticulous search for spleniculi at the time of initial surgery, to prevent relapses.

The objectives of the present study were to estimate the incidence spleniculi in patients undergoing splenectomy over a 5-year period in a tertiary care centre. And to describe the location and number of spleniculi in patient undergoing splenectomy

METHODS

A retrospective cohort study, through chart review, was conducted in authors’ hospital. The study included all patients who underwent splenectomy in the past 5 years, from October 2013 to October 2018. Inclusion criteria was all patients aged more than 18 years, both males and females, who underwent splenectomy, both emergency and elective. They should have undergone splectomy in authors’ hospital, either open or laparoscopic. Exclusion criteria were those patients with incomplete data. The data collected included age, gender, underlying comorbidities, indication for splenectomy, duration of underlying condition, emergency or elective surgery, number, size and location of spleniculi, number of patients with relapse secondary to accessory spleen. Details of a total of 186 patients was collected.

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS V 16. Incidence of spleniculi was represented as the number of patients with spleniculi by the total number of patients recruited for the study over the 5 years period. Descriptive statistics, for age, gender distribution, number and location of spleniculi, sizes of spleniculi was done using frequencies, percentages, median and standard deviation. Institutional Ethical Clearance (Ref. No-220/2018) was obtained prior to starting the study.

RESULTS

A total of 186 patients underwent splenectomy in the 5-year period analyzed. Patients age ranged from 18 to 78 years, with the mean age being 36.6±14.48 years (Table 1). 86 (46.2%) were women. 141 (75.8%) had an elective splenectomy, conventional laparoscopic splenectomy or hand-assisted splenectomy.

Table 1: Age distribution of 186 patients, in years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group (years)</th>
<th>No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18-45</td>
<td>102 (72.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-60</td>
<td>26 (18.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>13 (9.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Indication was haematological in 124 (66.6%) patients, most common being Idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura, 70 (49.6%). Other non-haematological indications were chronic pancreatitis, pancreatic neuroendocrine tumour in the tail of pancreas, hydatid cyst and splenic abscess. Emergency splenectomy was done secondary to blunt trauma to the abdomen or spontaneous rupture of the spleen (Figure 1) Of all the patients, 16 (8.6%) had spleniculi, detected incidentally. Previous radiological investigations did not reveal the presence of accessory spleen.

Most common location was in the splenic hilum -12 (75%), second being, near the tail of pancreas. All were sessile spleniculi, with an intact capsule. Three patients had 2 spleniculi, with sizes ranging from 2 x 0.5 x 0.4 cm and 1 x 0.2 x 0.3 cm. Histopathology showed an intact capsule with red and white pulp, which confirmed a spleniculi. 1 patient had a re-look surgery, a year post-splenectomy, wherein she presented with a left hypochondriac mass and CECT was suggestive of an enlarged spleniculi and was confirmed on histopathology.

Figure 1: Indications of splenectomy.
DISCUSSION

Incidence of spleniculi in present study was 8.6%, which is less compared to the others. All patients had the spleniculi detected and removed, incidentally on laparotomy or Laparoscopic Splenectomy (LS) or Hand-Assisted Splenectomy (HALS), as per the norm. USG and CT scans done previously did not detect them, probably due to the small size.

Most common location is the splenic hilum, which was also the same in present case. Other locations are, in order of decreasing incidence, 5% along the splenic artery, and remaining in the gastrosplenic, splenocolic, or gastrocolic ligament. Differentiation between spleniculi and splenosis nodule can be done histologically. Accessory spleens have well defined capsule, hilum, trabeculae, white pulp with malpighian follicles having central arteriole and red pulp. Splenosis nodules are also surrounded by capsule but malpighian follicles and central arteriole are not formed. In studied patients, their splenic structure was confirmed histologically.

Spleniculi is approximately 1 cm in diameter, but can also vary from microscopic deposits, not visible on CT to 2-3 cm in diameter. All studied patients have had spleniculi sizes ranging from 0.5 x 0.2 x 0.1 cm to 2 x 0.8 x 0.5 cm. Most patients are asymptomatic, with rare complications being rupture, torsion and strangulation, bowel obstruction and produce defects on upper gastrointestinal radiographs suggestive of gastric neoplasms. Spleniculi resembles normal spleen in structure and in immunological function. Many previous researchers have shown concern about accessory spleens in patients with ITP since evidence emerged that sustained remission was obtained after accessory splenectomy in patients with recurrent ITP. Only 1 patient in current study had a relapse secondary to a massively enlarged accessory spleen.

CONCLUSION

Accessory spleens may be overlooked during splenectomy, and consequently, a second surgery may be necessary in cases of patients with hematological disorders with relapse. Therefore, is becomes imperative to establish a meticulous search for spleniculi at the time of first surgery, to prevent relapses.
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