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Conservative management versus surgical drainage in pancreatic pseudocyst
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ABSTRACT

Pancreatic pseudocyst is not an uncommon complication of acute or chronic pancreatitis. It often presents with persistent abdominal pain and tenderness after the resolution of pancreatitis. Two lines of management are available for treatment of pancreatic pseudocyst: conservative management and surgical drainage. Conservative management is preferred in small-sized, asymptomatic, and short-lasting pseudocysts, whilst surgical management is often indicated when the cysts are larger in size, symptomatic, long-lasting, or when complications occur. Overall, 50% of cysts resolve spontaneously and only require conservative management, whilst surgical management is indicated for prevention of potential complications. This article will review and discuss in detail and compare between conservative management and surgical drainage of pseudocyst as regards indications, advantages, disadvantages, outcomes, and complications.
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INTRODUCTION

Pancreatic pseudocyst is a localized fluid-containing cyst that follows pancreatitis. It can occur after acute pancreatitis, chronic pancreatitis, and more often after acute exacerbation on top of chronic pancreatitis. They occur in 6% to 18.5% of cases after acute pancreatitis, and in 20% to 40% of cases after chronic pancreatitis.1,2 Pancreatic pseudocysts account for 75-80% of all cystic lesions that occur in the pancreas. They are well-localized and surrounded by a thick wall that is composed of granulation tissue and collagen.

Pancreatic pseudocyst usually develops few weeks after the onset of pancreatitis.3 Diagnosis of pancreatic pseudocyst requires a high index of suspicion because it
does the clinical presentation is non-specific. Patients with pancreatic pseudocysts present with fever, anorexia, persistent abdominal pain and tenderness after pancreatitis, and abdominal mass. In rare cases, the pseudocyst becomes infected leading to obstructive jaundice. Computed tomography (CT) abdomen is the investigation of choice for pancreatic pseudocyst diagnosis.

Cyst fluid analysis is beneficial for differentiation between pseudocyst and pancreatic neoplasm. Unlike the neoplasm, cystic fluid analysis in pancreatic pseudocyst reveals low carcinoembryonic antigen 125 (CEA-125), low fluid viscosity, and elevated amylase levels. Two lines of management are there for treatment of pancreatic pseudocyst: conservative management and surgical drainage. And this article will compare and review the indications, advantages and disadvantages, outcomes, and complications of both lines.

CONSERVATIVE MANAGEMENT OF PANCREATIC PSEUDOCYST

To date, management of pancreatic pseudocyst remains a dilemma. Management comprises two lines: either conservative medical and supportive treatment or surgical drainage of the pseudocyst. None of them seems to be superior to the other in all occasions. The treatment line should be tailored to each particular patient according to his condition.

Conservative medical management includes the use of analgesics, antiemetics, and intravenous fluid for symptomatic relief of the patient conditions. Other lines include antipyretics, bed rest, dietary adjustment, and intravenous nutrition in certain cases.

Patients with pancreatic pseudocyst should be administered low-fat diet as much as tolerated. In cases who develop incapacitating abdominal pain with eating, intravenous total parenteral nutrition (TPN) or percutaneous jejunal tube should be offered. Activity can be allowed for those patients if they tolerate it. However, bed rest is often recommended.

A list of medications has been evaluated for management of pancreatic pseudocyst. Octreotide comes on the top of this list. It is hypothesized that octreotide would reduce pancreatic secretions and consequently accelerate spontaneous resolution of the pancreatic pseudocyst. However, no strong evidence had been provided for its effectiveness in these conditions in the published literature.

A large proportion of pancreatic pseudocysts resolve without operative intervention and only require conservative medical management.

A five-year prospective study and Vitas and Sarr followed 114 patients with pancreatic pseudocyst, 68 of them were managed conservatively, and the rest were surgically operated either electively or on an emergency basis. More than half of the conservatively-managed patients (57%) had their pseudocysts resolved within a six-months follow-up period.

The complication rate was only 9%, and 28% needed future elective operative procedures for pseudocyst drainage. On the other hand, among the 46 patients who had surgical drainage of their pseudocyst as initial approach, the average morbidity rate was 26% (67% among patients who were indicated for emergency surgical drainage and 10% among patients who were electively operated).

This ensures the importance of patient selection for deciding the management line to be implemented. Basically, the main aim of treatment of pancreatic pseudocyst is to avoid the occurrence of complications. The chief dangerous complications include pseudocyst infection, expansion in size leading to jaundice (symptomatic pseudocysts), and pseudocyst rupture.

Infection occur in about 10% of the cases. Rupture, although rare, is a dangerous and almost fatal complication of pancreatic pseudocyst. Rupture into the intestine may result in gastrointestinal bleeding, and rupture into the peritoneum may cause fatal peritonitis. Therefore, the main indications of operative intervention for pseudocyst drainage are pancreatic pseudocyst that are prone to complications, being symptomatic, or debatable diagnosis (e.g. potentially malignant cysts).

Most researchers reported that the main indicators of complications and poor prognosis are the size of the cysts and the duration since the onset of cyst development. Larger pseudocysts and cysts that have not resolved for a long period of time without resolution are more likely to cause complications. Therefore, most physicians prefer surgical drainage of large cysts.

Although surgical drainage is often the of choice line of management in patients with large pancreatic pseudocysts, Vitas and Sarr in their study 11 noted that no serious complications had occurred among patients with large pancreatic pseudocysts (≥10 cm) who were conservatively managed.

Conservative medical management, therefore, provides a safe management option for patients with small asymptomatic pseudocysts that had been developed for a short duration of time. It carries a fair good outcome (more than 50% spontaneous resolution), low risk for complications (9%), and low morbidity and mortality.

The main disadvantage, on the other hand, is that it is not suitable for certain patients (symptomatic patients, large-
sized pseudocysts, complicated cysts, or cysts that had not resolved for a long duration). The outcome, advantages, disadvantages, and complications of conservative management are summarized in Table 1.

### Table 1: Comparison between conservative management and surgical drainage of pancreatic pseudocyst.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Conservative management</th>
<th>Surgical drainage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td>57% resolution</td>
<td>85-90% success rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indications</td>
<td>Small pseudocysts, asymptomatic pseudocysts, newly-developed cysts</td>
<td>Large pseudocysts, symptomatic pseudocysts, long-lasting pseudocysts, development of cysts complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advantages</td>
<td>Safe, no risk of anaesthesia-associated complications</td>
<td>Anaesthesia-associated complications, higher long-term success rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disadvantages</td>
<td>Lower resolution rate, some patients will need future operative interventions</td>
<td>Operative risks (infection, haemorrhage, perforation, operative failure), high cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complication rate</td>
<td>Around 25%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications</td>
<td>Expansion in size (compression on nearby structures causing gastric outlet obstruction, biliary complications, portal hypertension, splenic infarction), rupture of cyst (fatal peritonitis) haemorrhage</td>
<td>Iatrogenic infection, injury to adjacent vessels (haemorrhage), operative failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality rate</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SURGICAL DRAINAGE OF PANCREATIC PSEUDOCYST

Surgical drainage is the second line of management of pancreatic pseudocysts preferred by many physicians. As aforementioned, the main indications for surgery are large-sized pseudocysts (often larger than 5 cm), complicated cysts, long-lasting cysts, or suspicion of malignancy. This group of patients have a high morbidity and mortality, and therefore surgical intervention is necessary. Many researchers, however, argue some of these indications. They claim that the size and duration of pancreatic pseudocysts do not always carry a poor outcome. Some authors reported that large sized pseudocysts (even more than 10 cm) did not show serious complications on long-term follow up. Therefore, the main established two indications for surgery are the symptomatic and complicated pseudocysts.

For early detection of any evolving complications, pseudocysts must be closely followed up both clinically and radiologically. Complications of pancreatic pseudocysts include infection, haemorrhage, rupture, biliary complications, gastric outlet obstruction, portal hypertension, and splenic infarction. Infection occurs in about 10% of pancreatic pseudocysts. It may occur spontaneously or after diagnostic interventions. Although some infected pseudocysts may respond to medical therapy, the vast majority of them will require interventional drainage especially when there are signs of sepsis. Haemorrhage is one of the devastating complications of pseudocyst. It often occurs due to erosion of an adjacent vessel and leads to rapid deterioration up to death. Rupture is another grave complication of pancreatic pseudocyst especially if it occurred in to the peritoneal cavity. Rupture into the gastrointestinal tract is of a better prognosis and it often cause gastrointestinal bleeding either hematemesis or melena). Rupture inside the peritoneum is almost fatal. Symptomatic large pseudocyst at the head of the pancreas can lead to gastric outlet obstruction, whereas large pseudocysts arising at or nearby the tail of pancreas can lead to biliary tract obstruction, obstructive jaundice, and biliary complications. Similarly, compression on splenic and portal veins may lead to portal hypertension, splenic thrombosis, or even infarction.

Timing of intervention depends mainly on lesion size, duration, symptoms, and complications. For instance, operative intervention for asymptomatic large pseudocysts can be postponed to six weeks and carried out electively after close monitoring for any early sign of complications. Symptomatic or complicated cysts should be carried out on a closer basis (within six weeks of diagnosis or even earlier in cases of emergency). If a cyst become symptomatic or if any complication occurred, urgent intervention is indicated whatever the size or duration of the pseudocyst.

Interventional drainage of pancreatic pseudocysts can be carried out in different approaches. Drainage options include percutaneous external drainage, endoscopic...
management is that multiple interventional approaches might be necessary for successful drainage of pancreatic pseudocyst. Patients who have small asymptomatic short-lasting pancreatic pseudocysts should be followed up closely with a wait and watch approach under coverage of conservative medical management. If any sign of complications occurred, intervention should be considered. Large-sized, symptomatic, long-lasting, or complicated pseudocysts are indicated for interventional drainage. External percutaneous drainage is only indicated only in cases of emergency for symptomatic relief of patients’ complaints until endoscopic or surgical approaches can be conducted. This is attributed to the low success rates and higher complications and mortality rates.

Endoscopic and surgical drainage approaches have comparable success rates. However, endoscopic approach is currently more preferable to surgery due to lower complications and mortality associated with it. Surgery is often preserved for patients who do not tolerate endoscopic intervention, those who fail endoscopic treatment and those whose endoscopic management was not optimal. Still, the choice of approach adopted depends largely on institutional experience, preferences, and the available resources. A comparison between conservative and surgical management of pancreatic pseudocysts is demonstrated in Table 1.

**CONCLUSION**

Despite the dilemma that still exists regarding the management of pancreatic pseudocysts. The available different lines of management should be tailored to each particular patient according to his own condition. Conservative management is suitable for patients who have small asymptomatic short-lasting pseudocysts, whilst surgical drainage is indicated when the cysts are symptomatic, large in size, long-lasting, or when any complication occurs. Up to 50% of pancreatic pseudocysts resolve without interventions. Surgical and endoscopic drainage have comparable success rates, but the endoscopic approach has a preferable safety profile.
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