

Original Research Article

The patterns and changes of general surgical presentations during the first wave COVID-19 pandemic at a metropolitan Sydney hospital

Jason Diab^{1,2,3*}, Quoc Dung Nguyen^{1,2}, David Khaicy³, Vanessa Diab³,
Zachias Hopkins⁴, Sarit Badiani^{1,2}, Christophe Berney^{1,2}

¹Bankstown-Lidcombe Hospital, NSW, Australia

²University of New South Wales, NSW, Australia

³University of Notre Dame, NSW, Australia

⁴Macquarie University, NSW, Australia

Received: 20 October 2022

Revised: 11 November 2022

Accepted: 14 November 2022

*Correspondence:

Dr. Jason Diab,

E-mail: jdmisciali@gmail.com

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Background: The impact of SARS-COV-2 virus (COVID-19) has been well documented in international settings with a reduction in overall emergency presentations both influenced by social distancing and lockdowns. This study focuses on several common acute general surgical pathologies exploring differences in presentations and clinical outcomes for appendicitis, cholecystitis, and incarcerated hernias during the first wave.

Methods: A retrospective review of medical records of all patients was analysed in two groups: the non-COVID-19 group (combined 2017-2019) and the COVID-19 group (2020).

Results: There were 3,316 acute emergency surgical presentations with an 8.2% decrease in total presentations in 2020. There were 477 appendectomies, 224 cholecystectomies, and 121 repair of incarcerated hernias. All general surgical procedures demonstrated significant differences between non-COVID and COVID cohorts, including length of stay (LoS) (2.7 vs. 2.1, $p=0.009$) and onset of symptoms (1.6 vs. 2.2, $p=0.018$) for those aged less than 25 years. Patients with appendicitis aged less than 25 years had a significantly longer onset of symptoms before hospital presentation and shorter LoS in the COVID group (1.7 vs. 2.5, $p=0.002$; 2.9 vs. 2.3, $p=0.016$). Likewise, patients with cholecystitis had a significantly longer onset of symptoms in the COVID-19 cohort compared to their counterparts (2.0 vs. 3.0 days, $p=0.025$). There were no significant differences in biochemical, clinical or post-operative outcomes.

Conclusions: The first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic significantly reduced acute general surgical presentations. For those less than 25 years in the COVID-19 cohort, overall LoS was shorter despite indicating significantly delayed presentations.

Keywords: COVID-19, Physical distancing, Appendicitis, Cholecystitis, Length of stay

INTRODUCTION

COVID-19, a contagious disease, known as the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2), was declared a pandemic by the world health organisation on 11th March 2020.¹ The Australian government introduced “social distancing” five days later and significant changes in the public health system were

progressively implemented.¹ European counterparts recognised a reduction in emergency presentations between March and April 2020 ranging from 13.0% to 46.3%, compared to the previous year.¹ 4 On 25th March 2020 following the National Cabinet announcement and acting on the advice of the Australian health protection principal committee, New South Wales (NSW) state public hospitals suspended all non-urgent elective

surgery.¹ With scientific communities working to better understand the nature of the disease and to develop prevention protocols, the provision of acute surgery services inevitably had to continue to function including for potential life-threatening pathologies such as cancer with hospitals reorganising their infrastructure within a socially distance manner.²⁻³ During the first wave of the pandemic, countries internationally reported patients were severely affected by the disease and these results directly influenced surgical outcomes.^{4,5} In comparison our local health districts have sparsely analysed the effect those initial public health measures had with respect to delayed presentations to ED or advert short- and long-term clinical outcomes. This project aims to specifically study the impact of those restrictive measures had during the first wave of COVID-19 pandemic on several common general surgical presentations at a Sydney metropolitan hospital.

METHODS

A retrospective review was conducted for all emergency general surgical presentations at Bankstown-Lidcombe hospital, NSW, Australia from 2017 to 2020 between February to August. The sample population was taken from the database records and conducted as an exploratory retrospective study. The inclusion criteria were all patients admitted to the general surgical unit with an acute surgical pathology.

The exclusion criteria for the sub-analysis were patients that did not have appendicitis, cholecystitis or an incarcerated hernia. The primary objective was to determine any differences in presentations for all acute general surgical admissions. The secondary objective was to further determine any changes in clinical outcomes in a refined subgroup of the most common pathologies, including appendicitis, cholecystitis, and incarcerated hernias. The study was conducted using STROBE guidelines. The data collected included: Demographic information: sex, age, age groups (<25 years, 25-40, 40-55, 55-70, and 70+ years), body mass index (kg/m²), onset of symptoms to hospital presentations (days), Sepsis: two or more of quick Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (qSOFA) criteria consisting of respiratory rate ≥ 22 breaths/minute, Glasgow coma scale < 15 , and systolic blood pressure ≤ 100 mmHg, biochemical parameters: White cell count (WCC), C-reactive protein (CRP), haemoglobin, platelets, creatinine, urea, albumin, liver function tests, and lactate, Imaging: ultrasound (US) and computed tomography (CT) scan and associated CT findings, Management: American society of anaesthesiologist (ASA) physical status, operative management, Outcomes: operative time (total time including anaesthetic and surgical, hours), length of stay (LoS, days), intensive care unit (ICU) admission and ICU LoS, post-operative complications (surgical and medical), and in-hospital mortality.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 27.0. Patients were divided into two groups: non-COVID-19 (2017-2019) and COVID-19 (2020) with a focused analysis on appendicectomy, cholecystectomy, and hernia. Continuous variables assessed the relationship between linear data and correlation based on a level of significance set at p value of 0.05. Data were analysed with respect to each division of data including demography, biochemical, imaging, management and outcomes. The differences between proportions between the COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 groups derived from categorical data were analysed using Pearson, Chi square and Student's t test for continuous variables, respectively.

RESULTS

Total study period

During the whole study period, there was a total of 42,225 emergency presentations to hospital with 3,316 emergency surgical admissions. There was an overall decrease in the total number of emergency general surgical presentations by 8.2% between the two study periods (846.3 vs. 777, $p=0.171$) (Figure 1).




Figure 1: Acute surgical presentations to emergency from 2017 to 2020.

The total cohort had an average age of 40.0 (± 22.3) years with a negative skewed distribution; 0-25 years (31.9%), 26-40 years (25.9%), 40-55 years (17.2%), 55-70 years (12.2%), 70+ years (12.9%). There was an approximately equal distribution of men and women (51.3% vs. 48.7%). The three most common ethnicities included Caucasian (45.1%), middle eastern (35.9%), and Asian (16.2%). The average BMI was 27.6 (± 6.9) kg/m². For all general surgical procedures and clinical outcomes for the COVID-19 group, sex did not show any significant difference for onset of symptoms (2.1 days male vs. 2.8 days female, $p=0.190$), operative time (1.6 hours male vs. 1.7 hours female, $p=0.597$), post-operative complications (11.2% male vs. 5.1% female, $p=0.113$), return to theatre (1.0% males vs. 1.0% females, $p=0.994$), LoS (2.4 male vs. 2.6 female, $p=0.462$), mortality (1.0% male vs. 0.0% female, $p=0.314$).

Table 1: Comparative analysis between the COVID-19 cohort and the control cohort for appendicitis.

Parameters	Control cohort (2017-2019)	COVID-19 cohort (2020)	P value
Demographic information			
Age (years), mean (SD)	29.2 (17.2)	30.2 (17.3)	0.607
Male	199 (54.5%)	63 (56.3%)	0.748
Female	166 (45.5%)	49 (43.8%)	
Body mass index (BMI) (kg/m ²), mean (SD)	26.0 (6.6)	24.6 (5.5)	0.096
Months			
February	43 (11.8)	12 (10.7)	0.791
March	61 (16.7)	18 (16.1)	
April	48 (13.2)	18 (16.1)	
May	51 (14.0)	13 (11.6)	
June	43 (11.8)	17 (15.2)	
July	69 (18.9)	16 (14.3)	
August	50 (13.7)	18 (16.1)	
Onset of symptoms (days)	2.0 (3.3)	2.4 (2.9)	0.214
Aged less than 25 years	1.7 (1.2)	2.5 (2.5)	0.002
Biochemical parameters (normal range), mean			
White cell count (x10 ⁹ /l) (4.0-10.0)	12.9	13.2	0.590
C reactive protein (mg/l) (<4.9)	39.0	30.3	0.205
Haemoglobin (g/l) (130-170)	141.6	141.6	0.987
Creatinine (μmol/l) (60-110)	70.6	70.9	0.872
Urea (mmol/l) (4.0-9.0)	4.6	4.6	0.799
Albumin (g/l) (33-48)	43.3	41.2	<0.001
Lactate (mmol/l) (<1.9)	1.5	1.7	0.174
Sepsis	6 (1.6)	1 (0.9)	0.563
Imaging			
US	97 (26.6)	19 (17.0)	0.038
CT scan	153 (41.9)	40 (20.7)	0.242
CT findings			
Abscess	364 (99.7)	112 (100.0)	0.579
Phlegmon	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	-
Free gas	2 (0.5)	0 (0.0)	0.432
Operative management			
ASA status			
ASA 1	223 (61.1)	73 (65.2)	0.256
ASA 2	119 (32.6)	32 (28.6)	
ASA 3	23 (6.3)	6 (20.7)	
ASA 4	0 (0.0)	1 (0.9)	
Operative time (hours), mean (SD)	1.5 (0.5)	1.6 (0.6)	0.082
Aged 55-70 years	1.8 (0.6)	2.3 (1.0)	0.048
Perforation	44 (12.1)	9 (8.0)	0.236
Post-operative outcomes			
Post-operative complications	14 (3.8)	3 (2.7)	0.563
Return to theatre	19 (5.2)	1 (0.9)	0.046
ICU			
Admission	5 (1.4%)	2 (1.8)	0.749
Mean length of stay (SD)	0.1 (0.5)	0.1 (0.6)	0.560
Total length of stay in hospital (days) mean (SD)	2.9 (2.1)	2.5 (1.5)	0.027
Aged less than 25 years	2.9 (1.7)	2.3 (1.3)	0.016
40-55 years	3.3 (2.3)	2.1 (0.9)	0.008
In-hospital mortality	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	-

Table 2: Comparative analysis between the COVID-19 cohort and the control cohort for cholecystitis.

Parameters	Control cohort (2017-2019)	COVID-19 cohort (2020)	P value
Demographic information			
Age (years), mean (SD)	50.2 (19.9)	51.2 (20.1)	0.593
Male N (%)	67 (42.9)	22 (32.4)	-
Female N (%)	89 (57.1)	46 (67.6)	
Body mass index (BMI) (kg/m ²), mean (SD)	30.4 (6.8)	29.6 (7.3)	0.490
Months N (%)			0.453
February	13 (8.3)	6 (8.8)	
March	23 (14.7)	9 (13.2)	
April	33 (21.2)	10 (14.7)	
May	19 (12.2)	14 (20.6)	
June	24 (15.4)	7 (10.3)	
July	24 (15.4)	15 (22.1)	
August	20 (12.8)	7 (10.3)	
Onset of symptoms (days)	2.0 (2.8)	3.0 (3.8)	0.025
Aged less than 25 years N (%)	1.1 (0.5)	6.9 (7.0)	0.006
Biochemical parameters (normal range), mean			
White cell count (x10 ⁹ /l) (4.0-10.0)	12.1	11.2	0.286
C reactive protein (mg/l) (<4.9)	43.9	39.2	0.681
Haemoglobin (g/l) (130-170)	137.6	159.1	0.098
Creatinine (μmol/l) (60-110)	80.5	76.3	0.499
Urea (mmol/l) (4.0-9.0)	5.5	5.2	0.457
Albumin (g/l) (33-48)	40.2	38.4	0.061
Lactate (mmol/l) (<1.9)	1.7	1.9	0.216
GGT	184.6	174.1	0.798
ALP	113.9	117.3	0.796
ALT	137.6	153.0	0.678
AST	114.8	125.8	0.770
Bilirubin	18.8	21.2	0.476
Sepsis N (%)	9 (5.8)	6 (8.8)	0.400
Imaging N (%)			
US	102 (65.4)	31 (45.6)	0.006
CT scan	78 (50.0)	36 (52.9)	0.686
Ct findings			
Thickened gallbladder wall >3mm	40 (54.8)	3 (9.1)	<0.001
Free fluid	9 (12.3)	5 (15.2)	0.691
Operative management N (%); ASA status			
ASA 1	32 (20.6)	10 (14.7)	0.675
ASA 2	74 (47.7)	39 (57.4)	
ASA 3	43 (27.7)	17 (25.0)	
ASA 4	6 (3.3)	2 (2.9)	
Operative time (hours), mean (SD)	2.8 (0.9)	2.8 (1.1)	0.999
Aged less than 25 years	2.6 (0.8)	2.0 (0.6)	0.046
Perforation	4 (2.6)	3 (4.4)	0.465
Gangrene	22 (14.1)	9 (13.2)	0.863
Post-operative outcomes			
Post-operative complications	14 (3.8)	3 (2.7)	0.563
Return to theatre	3 (1.9)	1 (1.5)	0.814
ICU			
Admission N (%)	14 (9.0)	11 (16.2)	0.115
Mean length of stay (SD)	0.5 (2.3)	0.7 (1.9)	0.486
Total length of hospital stay (days), mean (SD)	7.2 (11.4)	5.0 (5.7)	0.127
Aged 25 to 40 years N (%)	4.1 (3.0)	2.5 (1.0)	0.003
Aged 40 to 55 years N (%)	5.1 (4.2)	3.4 (1.7)	0.048
In-hospital mortality N (%)	2 (1.3)	0 (0.0)	0.348

Table 3: Comparative analysis between the COVID-19 cohort and the control cohort for incarcerated hernia.

Parameters	Control cohort (2017-2019)	COVID-19 cohort (2020)	P value
Demographic information			
Age (years), mean (SD)	61.9 (19.1)	59.5 (14.9)	0.629
Male N (%)	58 (55.8)	13 (76.5)	0.108
Female N (%)	46 (44.2)	4 (23.5)	
Body mass index (BMI) (kg/m ²), mean (SD)	29.1 (6.5)	27.7 (7.4)	0.450
Months N (%)			
February	6 (5.8)	2 (11.8)	0.210
March	18 (17.3)	7 (41.2)	
April	17 (16.3)	0 (0.0)	
May	18 (17.3)	2 (11.8)	
June	17 (16.3)	2 (11.8)	
July	18 (17.3)	2 (11.8)	
August	10 (9.6)	2 (11.8)	
Onset of symptoms (days)	1.9 (2.1)	2.2 (1.6)	0.656
Biochemical parameters (normal range), mean			
White cell count (x10 ⁹ /l) (4.0-10.0)	10.6	9.8	0.531
C reactive protein (mg/l) (<4.9)	19.3	22.3	0.784
Haemoglobin (g/l) (130-170)	139.5	143.1	0.487
Creatinine (μmol/l) (60-110)	94.8	101.1	0.701
Urea (mmol/l) (4.0-9.0)	7.2	7.4	0.893
Albumin (g/l) (33-48)	40.3	38.6	0.210
Lactate (mmol/l) (<1.9)	1.5	1.4	0.643
Type of hernia			
Inguinal	37 (35.6)	8 (47.1)	0.0339
Ventral/umbilical	57 (54.8)	9 (52.9)	
Intra-abdominal	10 (9.6)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Sepsis N (%)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
Imaging N (%)			
US	3 (2.9)	2 (11.8)	0.088
CT scan	83 (79.8)	16 (94.1)	0.156
CT features of bowel obstruction	40 (38.5)	8 (47.1)	0.502
Operative management N (%); ASA status			
ASA 1	10 (9.6)	4 (23.5)	0.128
ASA 2	43 (41.3)	5 (29.4)	
ASA 3	44 (42.3)	5 (29.4)	
ASA 4	7 (6.7)	3 (17.6)	
Operative time (hours), mean (SD)	2.3 (1.2)	2.4 (1.1)	0.902
Aged 55 to 70 years	2.5 (1.5)	1.6 (0.3)	0.020
Bowel involvement in hernia	55 (52.9)	11 (64.7)	0.364
Mesh used	66 (63.5)	8 (47.1)	0.198
Surgical approach			
Open	54 (51.9)	7 (41.2)	0.646
Laparoscopic	46 (44.2)	10 (58.8)	
Laparoscopic converted to open	1 (1.0)	0 (0.0)	
Hybrid	3 (2.9)	0 (0.0)	
Post-operative outcomes			
Post-operative complications	25 (24.0)	4 (23.5)	0.964
Return to theatre	8 (7.7)	0 (0.0)	0.237
ICU			
Admission N (%)	21 (20.2)	3 (17.6)	0.807
Mean length of stay (SD)	0.8	0.6	0.229
Length of hospital stay (days) mean (SD)	6.9 (9.2)	4.8 (4.5)	0.348
Aged 55 to 70 years N (%)	6.7 (11.2)	1.3 (0.6)	0.019
In-hospital mortality N (%)	3 (2.9)	1 (5.9)	0.522

However, there were significant differences in the total LoS (2.7 vs. 2.1, $p=0.009$) for all patients, similarly for 40-55 years (2.7 vs. 1.9, $p=0.029$), and those aged 70 years and older (6.2 vs. 3.2, $p=0.018$). There was also a significant difference of 0.6 days from onset of symptoms to presentation to ED (1.6 vs. 2.2, $p=0.018$) for those aged less than 25 years.

Appendicectomy

There were 477 appendectomies recorded with the average age and sex ratio similar between both cohorts. There was no overall difference from onset of symptoms to presentation at hospital (2.0 vs. 2.4 days, $p=0.214$) (Table 1), but patients aged less than 25 years old had a significantly longer onset of symptoms before hospital presentation (1.7 vs. 2.5, $p=0.002$) and shorter LoS (2.9 vs. 2.3, $p=0.016$) in the COVID-19 group. Biochemical parameters at presentation did not show any statistical differences except for albumin (43.3 vs 41.2, $p<0.001$). The use of US showed a significant reduction of 9.4% in the COVID-19 cohort compared to their counterparts (97 vs. 19, $p=0.038$), whereas CT usage showed no difference (153 vs. 40, $p=0.242$). There was no significant difference in CT findings, sepsis, or rate of perforated appendicitis. The operative time was approximately 1.5 hours for both groups with those aged 55-70 years old in the COVID-19 cohort having a significantly longer operative time (1.8 vs. 2.3 hours, $p=0.048$). The non-COVID-19 cohort showed a significantly higher rate of unplanned return to theatre compared to their counterparts (5.2% vs. 0.9%, $p=0.046$). The total COVID-19 cohort demonstrated a significantly shorter LoS by 0.4 days compared to their counterparts (2.9 vs. 2.5 days, $p=0.027$); furthermore, those aged 40-55 years old had a 1.2 day shorter LoS (3.3 vs. 2.1 days, $p=0.008$).

Cholecystectomy

There were 224 cholecystectomies included with the average age, proportion of sex, and biochemical parameters similar between both cohorts (Table 2). There was a significant difference of one day delay, from onset of symptoms to hospital presentation, for the COVID-19 cohort compared to their counterparts (2.0 vs. 3.0 days, $p=0.025$). Furthermore, those aged less than 25 years in the COVID-19 group had a significant difference of 5.8 days in presentation compared to their non-COVID-19 counterparts (1.1 vs. 6.9 days, $p=0.006$). The use of US showed a significant reduction of 19.8% in the COVID-19 cohort compared to their counterparts (102 vs. 31, $p=0.006$). CT findings of a thickened gallbladder wall was significantly higher in the non-COVID cohort compared to their counterparts (54.8% vs. 9.1%, $p<0.001$). There was no difference in sepsis, perforation, or gangrenous gallbladder rates. The operative time was 2.8 hours for both groups with a significant difference of 0.6 hours for those aged less than 25 years (2.6 vs. 2.0 hours, $p=0.046$). There were no significant differences in post-operative complications (3.8% vs. 2.7%, $p=0.563$).

Those aged between 25-40 and 40-55 years had a significantly shorter LoS in the COVID-19 cohort compared to their counterparts (4.1 vs. 2.5, $p=0.003$; 5.1 vs. 3.4, $p=0.048$).

Incarcerated hernias

There were 121 incarcerated hernias recorded with the average age, proportion of sex, and biochemical parameters similar between both cohorts (Table 3). The three main types of incarcerated hernias included inguinal, ventral/umbilical and intra-abdominal. There was no difference in onset of symptoms to presentation at hospital (1.9 vs. 2.2 days, $p=0.656$). The use of CT imaging was employed more often in the COVID-19 group than their counterparts (79.8% vs. 94.1%, $p=0.156$). Features of bowel obstruction on CT were demonstrated in 38.5% of the non-COVID-19 cohort compared to 47.1% in the COVID-19 group ($p=0.502$). Bowel involvement within the hernia sac was evident in 54.5% of the total cohort and mesh was used in 61.1% with no significant differences between groups. The most common surgical approach for the COVID-19 group was laparoscopic (58.8%) versus open for the control cohort (51.9%). No patients had unplanned returned to theatre in the COVID-19 group (0.0% vs. 7.7%, $p=0.237$). There was no significant difference in operative time between the two groups (2.3 vs. 2.4, $p=0.902$). Furthermore, the COVID-19 cohort aged 55 to 70 years experienced significantly shorter operative times by 0.9 hours (2.5 vs. 1.6, $p=0.020$) and shorter LoS by 5.4 days (6.7 vs. 1.3, $p=0.019$).

DISCUSSION

Our findings demonstrated a reduction in the total volume of general acute surgical presentations consistent with the international literature.⁴⁻⁶ Our experience was congruent with the overall decline in emergency department visits between March and May 2020 in Western Sydney Local Health District and Australia, with a drop of 25% and 38%, respectively.^{5,6} It is well documented that delayed presentations of common general surgical pathologies such as appendicitis and cholecystitis may lead to higher rates of complications and LoS.^{7,8} The expectation was that the pandemic and lockdown may have contributed to delayed diagnoses, directly impacting clinical outcomes and LoS. However, this assumption was heavily galvanised by international experiences who reported delayed presentations and detrimental clinical outcomes. A multicentre study during the first wave of the pandemic reported a one-third reduction of emergency surgical admissions both during workdays and weekends.⁹ Whereas, a Scottish study reported a significant increase in severe appendicitis resulting in greater proportion of emergency surgery and operative time.¹⁰ Additionally, a regional New Zealand study reported patients with appendicitis were 15.1 years younger their counterparts (21.5 vs. 36.6, $p<0.01$), had a longer onset of symptoms

to presentation (2.82 vs. 1.77 days) and shorter LoS, albeit not statistically significant.¹¹

During the first wave, Bankstown-Lidcombe hospital was a relatively spared centre like many other major Australian hospitals as resources were re-allocated towards major COVID-19 hospitals for expectant management of cases. This study identified that there were few significant overall clinical differences in outcomes of common acute general surgical pathologies as most procedures were even more heavily driven by consultants and/or fellows. We identified that those less than 25 years old had a significantly longer onset of symptoms before hospital presentation for appendicitis of 0.8 days (1.7 vs. 2.5 days, $p=0.002$) and 5.8 days for cholecystitis (1.1 vs. 6.9 days, $p=0.006$) compared to their counterparts. Surprisingly, for both pathologies, the LoS was overall significantly shorter in the COVID-19 group by 0.6 days (2.9 vs. 2.3 days, $p=0.016$) for appendicitis and one day for cholecystitis (2.0 vs. 3.0 days, $p=0.025$) compared to their counterparts (Table 1-2). Our experience recognises that the younger age group seemed to have more delayed presentations overall, which may be due to the lockdown, social media access and fear of hospital exposure adversely affecting earlier presentation. We also believe that surgeons' experience and prompt hospital discharge during the pandemic wave may have contributed. The investigations of choice were different and likely influenced by the potential respiratory transmission of COVID-19 with safe distancing protocols in place. The use of US had a significant reduction by 9.4% and 19.8% for appendicitis and cholecystic, respectively. Ultrasound use was impacted by safe distance protocols in place and physicians likely aware of the potential transmission thereby selecting alternative measures. Interestingly, CT findings of a thickened gallbladder wall was significantly higher in the non-COVID-19 cohort compared to their counterparts (54.8% vs. 9.1%, $p<0.001$). This study did not distinguish between acute and chronic cholecystitis features as a factor in the above findings, but Yeo's radiological study has reported specific radiological findings based on gallbladder distension, pericholecystic haze, and liver enhancement.¹¹

An American study reported a different experience with CT use demonstrating a significant decrease in CT abdomen and pelvis (33.6% vs. 31.1%), but increase in US abdomen (15.7% vs. 20.3%) during the pandemic period, except for an increase in CT chest.^{12,13} Whilst reductions in presentations account for this change, Houshyar attributes this as multifactorial relating to regional differences in disease prevalence, magnitude and scope of social distancing mandates, variable adoption of these measures by members of the public, and existing image ordering practices and resources.¹⁴ Despite the absence of reported cases of COVID-19, the general surgical unit did not identify any clinically significant differences in biochemical parameters, operative management, or major clinical outcomes between both

groups. Our previous work identified that those with necrotising fasciitis in the COVID-19 group had a significantly longer mean onset of symptoms till hospital presentation of 4.1 days, longer mean operative time, more likely to be admitted to ICU and not survive compared to their counterparts.¹⁵ A Melbourne based study who experienced different restrictions compared to Sydney reported those who had operations after-hours in the COVID-19 period were more likely to present with severe cholecystitis.¹⁶ An Israeli study who had similar experiences with lockdowns, but at the time overall better vaccination rates compared to Australia reported significant delays of presentation from onset of symptoms resulting in worse biochemical and clinical parameters compared to the control cohort. In contrast to our findings, their COVID-19 cohort underwent significantly higher rates of urgent surgery and greater LoS.¹⁷ The increase LoS was also attributed by worse clinical conditions, delayed PCR testing and fear of hospital exposure.^{18,19} A multicentre European study had similar experiences with a shift in elderly and more frail patients and reduction in appendicitis and diverticulitis, but increase in bowel ischaemia and perianal ailments.²⁰ Acting within resource limitations and preparation for the wave, the LoS was significantly shorter for appendicitis and cholecystitis in the COVID-19 cohort (2.9 vs. 2.5, $p=0.027$). The Auckland experience similarly reported fewer operations, albeit non-significant, with a total median LoS difference of 0.5 days between the groups (1.8 vs. 1.3, $p=0.031$).²¹ The shorter LoS may have been attributed by resource limitations, pressures to discharge and create COVID-19 wards as well as more senior consultant driven care.

Australia experienced a lesser magnitude of the first wave compared to other countries with a total of 28,381 cases of COVID-19 by the end of 2020, including 909 deaths.²² With an established telehealth service pre-pandemic, the introduction of government subsidised telehealth services across Australia largely facilitated the management of non-COVID-19 health conditions.²³ The stress of the pandemic highlighted the importance of non-clinical skills and teamwork. An international survey on this notion underlined how multidisciplinary trauma teams need to operate under great pressure, and tailored mechanisms and processes should be put in place to facilitate teamwork and performance.² These skills are within surgical societies and trainees and surgeons should continue to familiarise themselves with these especially during times of disaster.

Our unit remained as a 'clean centre' during the first wave and our response in addressing common surgical pathologies were overall sound. Evidently, the access to these services and social restrictions contributed to the reduction in presentations and delayed presentations. Limitations of the study are attributed to the retrospective design with potential selection bias due to coding of the disease, which may not have captured all patients. Surveillance and informed data decision processes are

paramount to the provision of good service in achieving adequate patient care.

CONCLUSION

The first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic significantly reduced acute general surgical presentations during the lockdown period. Patients aged less than 25 years old were most likely to present later for common surgical pathologies. Despite significantly delayed overall presentations for appendicitis and cholecystitis, sound clinical outcomes were satisfactorily met with overall shorter LoS.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors would like to extend our acknowledgement to the general surgical team members at Bankstown-Lidcombe hospital and the medical administration who assisted across the units in the collection of the data.

Funding: No funding sources

Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee

REFERENCES

- Cucinotta D, Vanelli M. WHO Declares COVID-19 a Pandemic. *Acta Biomed.* 2020;91(1):157-160.
- Campbell K, Vines E. COVID-19: a chronology of Australian Government announcements. Available at: <https://www.aph.gov.au/>. Accessed on 20 November 2021.
- Kaufman EJ, Ong AW, Cipolle MD, Whitehorn G, Ratnasekera A, Stawicki SP, Martin ND. The impact of COVID-19 infection on outcomes after injury in a state trauma system. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg.* 2021;91(3):559-65.
- Stöß C, Steffani M, Kohlhaw K, Rudroff C, Staib L, Hartmann D, Friess H, Müller MW. The COVID-19 pandemic: impact on surgical departments of non-university hospitals. *BMC Surg.* 2020;20(1):313.
- Emergency department care activity 2021. Available at: <https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports-data/myhospitals/intersection/activity/ed>. Accessed on 21 November 2021.
- Kam AW, Chaudhry SG, Gunasekaran N, White AJ, Vukasovic M, Fung AT. Fewer presentations to metropolitan emergency departments during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Med J Aust.* 2020;213(8):370-1.
- Hadad SM, Vaidya JS, Baker L. Delay from symptom onset increases the conversion rate in laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis. *World J Surg.* 2008;32(12):2747.
- Williams N, Bello M. Perforation rate relates to delayed presentation in childhood acute appendicitis. *J R Coll Surg Edinb.* 1998;43(2):101-2.
- Tebala GD, Milani MS, Cirocchi R, Bignell M, Bond-Smith G, Lewis C, et al. CovidICE-International Collaborative. The weekend effect on the provision of Emergency Surgery before and during the COVID-19 pandemic: case-control analysis of a retrospective multicentre database. *World J Emerg Surg.* 2022;17(1):22.
- Dick L, Green J, Brown J, Kennedy E, Cassidy R, Othman S, Berlansky M. Changes in Emergency General Surgery During Covid-19 in Scotland: A Prospective Cohort Study. *World J Surg.* 2020;44(11):3590-4.
- Boyle L, Boyle A, Jay S, Marnewick J. COVID-19 lockdown impact on common general surgical acute presentations to a regional centre in New Zealand. *The New Zealand Med J.* 2020;133(1525):96.
- Yeo DM, Jung SE. Differentiation of acute cholecystitis from chronic cholecystitis. *Medicine.* 2018;97:33-8.
- Sharperson C, Hanna TN, Herr KD, Zygmunt ME, Gerard RL, Johnson JO. The effect of COVID-19 on emergency department imaging: what can we learn? *Emerg Radiol.* 2021;28(2):339-47.
- Houshyar R, Tran-Harding K, Glavis-Bloom J, Nguyentat M, Mongan J, Chahine C, et al. Effect of shelter-in-place on emergency department radiology volumes during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Emerg Radiol.* 2020;27:781-4.
- Nguyen QD, Diab J, Khaicy D, Diab V, Hopkins Z, Foong LH, Berney CR. The impact of COVID-19 on delayed presentations of necrotising fasciitis. *J Surg Case Rep.* 2022;2:12-8.
- Ma JLG, Yogaraj V, Siddiqui M, Chauhan K, Tobin VA, Pilgrim CHC. The impact of COVID-19 on emergency cholecystectomy. *ANZ J Surg.* 2021;23:34-8.
- Aviran E, Laks S, Benvenisti H, Khalilieh S, Assaf D, Aviran N, et al. The impact of the covid-19 pandemic on general surgery acute admissions and urgent operations: a comparative prospective study. *Isr Med Assoc J.* 2020;11(22):673-9.
- Callan R, Assaf N, Bevan K. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on acute general surgical admissions in a district general hospital in the United Kingdom: a retrospective cohort study. *Surg Res Pract.* 2020;2020:2975089.
- Deaths registered weekly in england and wales, provisional deaths registered weekly in England and Wales. Available at: <https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/bulletins/deathsregisteredweeklyinenglandandwalesprovisional/weekending10april2020>. Accessed on 10 September 2021.
- Tebala GD, Milani MS, Bignell M, Bond-Smith G, Lewis C, Cirocchi R. Emergency surgery admissions and the COVID-19 pandemic: did the first wave really change our practice? Results of an ACOI/WSSES international retrospective cohort audit on 6263 patients. *World J Emerg Surg.* 2022;17(1):8.

21. McGuinness MJ, Hsee L. Impact of the COVID-19 national lockdown on emergency general surgery: Auckland City Hospital's experience. ANZ J Surg. 2020;90(11):2254-8.
22. Coronavirus (COVID-19) at a glance-30 december 2020. Available at: <https://www.health.gov.au/resources/publications/coronavirus-covid-19-at-a-glance>. Accessed on 30 December 2021.
23. Taylor A, Caffery LJ, Gesesew HA, King A, Bassal AR, Ford K. How Australian health care services adapted to telehealth during the covid-19 pandemic: a survey of telehealth professionals. Front Public Health. 2021;9:648009.
24. Cobiauchi L, Dal Mas F, Massaro M, Fugazzola P, Coccolini F, Kluger Y, et al. Team dynamics study group. team dynamics in emergency surgery teams: results from a first international survey. World J Emerg Surg. 2021;16(1):47.

Cite this article as: Diab J, Nguyen QD, Khaicy D, Diab V, Hopkins Z, Badiani S, et al. The patterns and changes of general surgical presentations during the first wave COVID-19 pandemic at a metropolitan Sydney hospital. Int Surg J 2022;9:1948-56.