

Research Article

Clinico - pathological profile of axillary lymph node status in early breast carcinoma - a tertiary care centre experience

Meer M. Chisthi*, Krishnakumar G. Kuttanchettiyar

Department of General Surgery, Government Medical College, Trivandrum, Kerala, India

Received: 10 February 2016

Accepted: 12 April 2016

***Correspondence:**

Dr. Meer M Chisthi,

E-mail: meerchisthi@gmail.com

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Background: Surgical dissection is the accepted mode of staging the axilla in breast cancer. However, the associated morbidity has led researchers to look at less invasive options like sentinel lymph node biopsy. Proper prediction of axillary node positivity can help towards stratifying patients for dissection or sentinel biopsy. The primary objective of the study was to assess the factors influencing pathological axillary lymph node positivity in early carcinoma breast. Secondary objective was to assess the reliability of clinical evaluation of axillary lymph nodes in these patients.

Methods: This was an Observation study, conducted from January 2012 to December 2014, at Government Medical College Hospital, Trivandrum, Kerala, India. 100 Consecutive cases of early carcinoma breast admitted in the general surgery wards during this period were included in this study.

Results: Axillary lymph node positivity was found to be more in younger age group, pre-menopausal patients, lobular pathology, and HER 2 neu positive patients. Premenopausal women and outer quadrants tumours have more axillary lymph node positivity. Clinical palpation for axillary lymph nodes was found to have a sensitivity of 58.18%, specificity of 62.22%, and positive predictive value of 65.31% and negative predictive value of 54.9%.

Conclusions: Younger age, HER 2 neu positivity and higher histological grade are associated with more risk of axillary disease. Also, the clinical examination of axillary lymph nodes is associated with significant false negative and false positive rates in early breast carcinoma. Axillary involvement and thus the need for loco-regional treatment can be predicted from the patients' clinico-pathological characteristics.

Keywords: Axilla, Breast cancer, Lymph nodes, Palpation, TNM staging

INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer remains the most common cancer among women across the world. It attains significance in being a major determinant of both morbidity and mortality in the affected female population. Breast cancer management requires a comprehensive assessment of multiple aspects in addition to the simple extirpation of the primary tumour, including sophisticated imaging, advanced pathology, nuclear medicine and a variety of adjuvant therapies, both local and systemic. Axillary lymph node involvement is shown to be the single most important prognostic factor for survival in breast cancer patients,

and consequently information about it provides both staging information and guidance regarding treatment. Though triple assessment is the standard for evaluating carcinoma breast, clinical assessment of axilla is not infallible and pathological examination is the only way to confirm disease in nodes, especially micro-metastases. Hence axillary lymph node dissection (ALND) has been a standard part of breast cancer treatment, for accurate pathologic staging of axilla.

The axilla is a pyramid shaped space located at the lateral border of the human breast. It primarily contains the axillary lymph nodes, axillary sheath, axillary vessels as

well as the brachial plexus. The apex of the axilla is at the junction of clavicle, upper border of scapula and first rib. The base comprises the axillary fascia. The anterior wall is composed of pectoralis major, pectoralis minor and subclavius muscles together with the fascia that envelope these muscles. The posterior wall is composed of scapula and three related muscles - subscapularis, teres major and latissimus dorsi. The lateral wall is formed by bicipital groove of humerus bone. The medial wall is formed by the chest wall which includes the second to sixth ribs and the serratus anterior muscle. From the surgeons' point of view, the axillary lymph nodes are stratified into three levels according to the relationship to the pectoralis minor : Level I Nodes : lymph nodes located lateral to the muscle, Level II Nodes: lymph nodes located deep to the muscle, Level III Nodes: lymph nodes located medial to the muscle.

Axillary lymph node status is accepted as one of the most powerful prognostic factors in breast cancer and ALND the standard approach for local staging in lymph node positive patients. There has been evidence for an inverse correlation between a low number of removed axillary lymph nodes and overall survival. Therefore prevalent guidelines recommend the removal of at least 10 lymph nodes, based on a mathematical model which determined the cut off at 10 to allow a 90% certainty of a true negative axillary status.

The international breast cancer study group (IBCSG) studied LRR (Loco-Regional Recurrence) among 5352 breast cancer patients treated with mastectomy without PMRT (Post Mastectomy Radiation Therapy) and followed for a median of 14.5 years. The number of positive axillary nodes and tumour grade were significant risk factors for LRR. In addition, peri-tumoural vessel invasions (PVI) for premenopausal patients and tumour size for postmenopausal patients were also significant prognostic factors. Several other groups have found younger age to be associated with a significantly higher predicted risk of LRR.¹⁻⁵

Currently, axillary surgery for breast cancer is considered as staging procedure that does not seem to influence the breast cancer mortality, since the risk of developing metastasis depends mainly on the biological behavior of the primary (seed-and-soil model). Due to the increasing awareness about carcinoma breast, routine use of mammography and early detection of cases, less cases are node positive at detection.

Hence these patients may undergo unnecessary axillary nodal dissection and have to suffer the associated morbidity. In many centers, axillary dissection has been replaced by the less invasive sentinel node biopsy in women with a clinically negative axilla. Axillary surgery seems even less important in elderly women because of the high mortality from competing events and the ability of hormone therapy to achieve long-term disease control. Another problem is the clinical fallibility of the TNM

classification of the breast. The classical N stage detected by bed-side palpation is highly unreliable, with false positive and false negative rates of around 30%.⁶⁻¹⁰

With this background, this study was undertaken to find the clinico-pathological profile of axillary lymph node status in early breast cancer. Primary objective of the study was to assess the factors associated the occurrence of axillary lymph node metastases in early carcinoma breast. Secondary objective was to find out the reliability of clinical evaluation of axillary lymph nodes in early carcinoma breast by comparing with pathological status.

METHODS

This Observation study was conducted between January 2012 to December 2014 at the Government Medical College Hospital, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India. The protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board. 100 Consecutive cases of carcinoma breast admitted in the General Surgery wards during this period were included.

Inclusion criteria

Cytologically proven cases of carcinoma breast with tumour size up to 5 cm without signs of skin fixity, chest wall fixity, no clinically enlarged axillary lymph node or only mobile axillary nodes, female sex.

Exclusion criteria

Pregnancy or lactation; prior surgery or radiation to the affected breast or axilla; any form of neo-adjuvant chemotherapy.

After informed consent, all cases were subjected to investigations to confirm the diagnosis and to rule out metastases. Thus patients with clinical stages T1N0, T0N1, T1N1, T2N0, and T2N1 were included in the study. The patient's clinical characteristics were recorded in the performa. These underwent either Modified Radical Mastectomy or Wide local excision with Axillary lymph node dissection, depending on the patients' wishes as well as tumour characteristics.

Histopathological reports of these selected patients were reviewed from the department of pathology. From these data, the clinical and pathological characteristics of the primary were analyzed to find out their relation with axillary lymph nodal metastasis. Relative risk was calculated from these variables. To evaluate the efficacy of clinical tool, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) were calculated. All relevant data is presented as mean \pm standard deviation. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

Table 1: Analysis of factors influencing pathological axillary node positivity.

| Variable | Sub -group | Total number (n) | Lymph node + (P+) | Lymph node - (P-) | Relative risk | p value |
|----------------|------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------|
| Age group | 20-30 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1.88 | <0.001 |
| | 31-40 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 1.68 | 0.0012 |
| | 41-50 | 31 | 18 | 13 | 1.08 | 0.6742 |
| | 51-60 | 30 | 13 | 17 | 0.72 | 0.1579 |
| | 61-70 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 0.75 | 0.3773 |
| | 71-80 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0.18 | 0.1945 |
| Menopausal | Pre | 48 | 32 | 16 | 1.5 | 0.0275 |
| | Post | 52 | 23 | 29 | 0.66 | 0.0275 |
| BMI | < 20 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0.91 | 0.9038 |
| | 21 - 25 | 30 | 11 | 19 | 0.58 | 0.0359 |
| | 26 - 30 | 58 | 36 | 22 | 1.37 | 0.1109 |
| | > 30 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 1.31 | 0.2357 |
| Site of tumour | C | 10 | 2 | 8 | 0.34 | 0.0908 |
| | LI | 14 | 4 | 10 | 0.48 | 0.0909 |
| | LO | 10 | 7 | 3 | 1.31 | 0.2357 |
| | UI | 30 | 13 | 17 | 0.72 | 0.1579 |
| | UO | 36 | 23 | 23 | 1.28 | 0.1661 |
| Size of tumour | T1 | 12 | 10 | 2 | 1.63 | 0.0032 |
| | T2 | 88 | 45 | 43 | 0.61 | 0.0032 |
| Pathology | Lobular | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1.85 | <0.001 |
| | Ductal | 98 | 53 | 45 | 0.54 | <0.001 |
| Grade | 1 | 51 | 22 | 29 | 0.64 | 0.0185 |
| | 2 | 42 | 29 | 13 | 1.57 | 0.0127 |
| | 3 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 1.04 | 0.9038 |
| ER | | 90 | 44 | 36 | 1 | 0 |
| PR | | | 41 | 27 | 1.38 | 0.1509 |
| HER 2 neu | | | 9 | 1 | 1.76 | 0.0001 |

BMI: Body Mass Index; C: central. LI: lower inner; LO: lower outer; UI: upper inner; UO: upper outer; T1:<2 cm. T2: 2-5 cm; ER: estrogen receptor; PR: progesterone receptor

In this study, patients belonging to 41-50 year age group were found to constitute the maximum. The youngest patient was 23 years, while the oldest was 78. 48 patients were premenopausal.

The majority patients: 61: had lesion in the right breast. 36 patients had primary lesion in the upper outer quadrant, which was followed closely by the upper inner quadrant in 30 patients. Only 14 patients had lesion in the lower inner quadrant, while 10 patients each had lesion in the lower outer and central areas. The highest BMI was 33.28 while the lowest was 19.05, while the mean BMI was found to be 26.15 \pm 2.87. Among the total 100 patients, 88 had lesions more than 2 cm clinically: T2. The remaining 12 patients had lesions less than 2 cm: T1. 49 patients were clinically axillary lymph node positive: N1, while 51 had no palpable axillary nodes: N0. Pathologically, 98 were invasive ductal cancers while 2 were lobular. Pathological grade 3 was found in 7 patients, grade 2 in 42 patients and grade 1 in 51 patients. ER was positive in 80 patients, while PR was found to be

positive in 68 patients. HER 2 neu was positive in 10 patients only.

Out of the 12 patients with clinical T1, 8 had pathologically T1 itself, while 4 had pathological T2. Of the 88 patients who had T2 tumours clinically, 80 patients remained pT2 itself, while 2 had T3 status pathologically. The remaining 6 had T1 tumours pathologically.

Out of the 51 patients with clinically absent nodes, 28 had no pathological nodes, while 18 had pN1 and 5 had pN2 nodes. Of the 49 patients with palpable nodes, 17 had no pathological nodes, 23 had pN1 and 9 had pN2 nodes pathologically. On further analysis, axillary lymph node positivity was found to be associated with younger age group, pre-menopausal status, lobular pathology and her 2 neu positivity, grade 2 histology and tumour size less than 2 cm (Table 1). Clinical palpation for axillary lymph node positivity was found to have a sensitivity of 58.18%, specificity of 62.22%, PPV of 65.31% and NPV of 54.9% (Table 2).

Table 2: Diagnostic test evaluation of clinical examination of axilla.

| | Value | 95% Confidence interval |
|---------------------------|--------|-------------------------|
| Sensitivity | 58.18% | 44.11% to 71.35% |
| Specificity | 62.22% | 46.54% to 76.23% |
| Positive predictive value | 65.31% | 50.36% to 78.33% |
| Negative predictive value | 54.90% | 40.34% to 68.87% |
| Positive likelihood ratio | 1.54 | 1.00 to 2.38 |
| Negative likelihood ratio | 0.67 | 0.46 to 0.99 |

DISCUSSION

In this study the most frequent involvement of lymph nodes is seen in tumours occupying the upper outer and lower outer quadrants. The maximum number of patients had histological grade 2 tumours and these patients had maximum lymph node positivity. The factors associated with axillary lymph node positivity were found to be younger age group, pre-menopausal status, and lobular pathology and HER 2 neu positivity. Unexpected association of axillary lymph nodes was found with smaller tumours (rather than bigger tumours) and grade 2 pathological status (rather than grade 3). This finding might be because of the very less number of patients with T1 tumour size and grade 3 pathologies. The clinical palpation for axillary lymph nodes was found to have moderate sensitivity and specificity only.

The results of this study agree with most of the existing literature on this area. Pathologic examination of the axillary lymph node provides objective and reliable node metastasis information. Barth et al. found that lymph vascular invasion (LVI), tumour size, and histological grade can be used to estimate the risk of ALNM. HER2 positivity is associated with higher aggressiveness in invasive breast cancer, and is accepted as an important prognostic factor in breast cancer patients. Also, patients with larger tumour size have increased risk of ALNM. Chu and Coll, in a cohort of women with a mean age of 52 years, after evaluating numerous possible predictive factors, found that only the size of primary tumour and of SN metastases were significant and hence they proposed not to perform AND in small primary (T1a, T1b) and SNB showing only micro metastases.¹¹⁻¹⁴

Non-invasive techniques that are considered to exclude axillary metastases include axillary physical examination (PE), axillary ultrasound (AUS) and positron emission tomography-computed tomography (PET/CT). In AUS, a longitudinal-transverse axis ratio (LT ratio) <2, eccentric cortical thickening, and/or an absent fatty hilum as well as higher peripheral vascularity are reported as the most reliable criteria to predict lymph node metastases. The combination of AUS and FNA (Fine Needle Aspiration)

has led to a specificity improvement to nearly 100%, but the sensitivity may not be substantially increased (between 30.6 and 62.9%). However, most of these methods lack sensitivity and NPV. Sensitivity is 25-35.5 % for PE, 43.5-72.3 % for AUS and 56-62.7 % for PET/CT. The NPV is 81.7 % for PE, 81.6-83.3 % for AUS and 79.1 % for PET/CT. MRI seems to be the most promising non-invasive nodal staging technique with a highest median sensitivity of 84.7 % and NPV of 95.0 %. Also, exclusion of nodal metastatic infiltration is impossible with any imaging technique in about 25% of nodal metastases due to a size of ≤ 5 mm, which is below the cut-off for detection.¹⁵⁻¹⁹

Of late, local treatment of the axilla in clinically node-negative, early-stage breast cancer patients is hotly debated after the release of the American college of surgeons oncology group (ACOSOG) Z0011 and European organization for research and treatment of cancer (EORTC) AMAROS trial data. The ACOSOG Z11 trial randomized clinically node-negative women with cancers less than 5 cm in size treated with lumpectomy and RT to completion axillary dissection versus no further surgery after a positive SN. There was extremely low rate of LRR in both groups. Another recently published randomized trial on women 60 years of age with breast cancer and a clinically node-negative axilla compared surgery with axillary dissection and surgery without axillary dissection followed by tamoxifen for 5 years. This study found that presence of axillary lymph node involvement did not affect disease-free or overall survival.^{20,23}

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, axillary lymph node positivity is associated with younger age group, pre-menopausal status, lobular pathology and her 2 neu positivity. The possibility of having axillary involvement and thus the need for adjuvant therapy may be predicted from the characters of the primary tumour. However, clinical assessment of axilla and tumour size is associated with significant false negative and false positive rates in early breast carcinoma and hence cannot replace imaging modalities. The modern approach in breast cancer care should include more detailed screening diagnostics, pathological evaluation, improved planning of surgical and radiation therapy, and more effective systemic treatment.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to Dr. Nizarudeen, professor, department of general surgery, government medical college, Thrissur, Kerala, India.

Funding: No funding sources

Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the institutional ethics committee

REFERENCES

1. Carter CL, Allen C, Henson DE: Relation of tumor size, lymph node status, and survival in 24, 740 breast cancer cases. *Cancer.* 1989;63(1):181-7.
2. Somner JE, Dixon JM, Thomas JS: Node retrieval in axillary lymph node dissections: recommendations for minimum numbers to be confident about node negative status. *J Clin Pathol.* 2004;57(8):845-8.
3. Kiricuta CI, Tausch J: A mathematical model of axillary lymph node involvement based on 1446 complete axillary dissections in patients with breast carcinoma. *Cancer.* 1992;69(10):2496-501.
4. Wallgren A, Bonetti M, Gelber RD, Goldhirsch A, Gertsch MC, Holmberg SB et al. Risk factors for locoregional recurrence among breast cancer patients: results from International Breast Cancer Study Group Trials I through VII. *J Clin Oncol.* 2003;21(7):1205-13.
5. Taghian A, Jeong JH, Mamounas E, Anderson S, Bryant J, Deutsch M, et al. Patterns of locoregional failure in patients with cancer treated by mastectomy and adjuvant chemotherapy with or without tamoxifen and without radiotherapy: results from five National Surgical adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project Randomized Clinical Trials. *J Clin Oncol.* 2004;21:4247-54.
6. Engel J, Lebeau A, Sauer H, Holzel D. Are we wasting our time with the sentinel technique? Fifteen reasons to stop axilla dissection. *Breast* 2006;15:452-5.
7. Veronesi U, Paganelli G, Galimberti V, Viale G, Zurrida S, Bedoni M et al. Sentinel node biopsy can avoid axillary dissection in breast cancer patients with clinically negative lymph-nodes. *Lancet.* 1997;349:1864-7.
8. Cox C, White L, Allred N, Meyers M, Dickson D, Dupont E et al. Survival outcomes in nodenegative breast cancer patients evaluated with complete axillary node dissection versus sentinel lymph node biopsy. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2006;13:708-11.
9. Kenny FS, Robertson JFR, Ellis IO. Long-term follow-up of elderly patients randomized to primary tamoxifen or wedge mastectomy as initial therapy for operable breast cancer. *Breast.* 1998;7:335-9.
10. Hind D, Wyld L, Beverley CB, Reed MW. Surgery versus primary endocrine therapy for operable primary breast cancer in elderly women (70 years plus). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2006;1:CD004272.
11. Barth A, Craig PH, Silverstein MJ. Predictors of axillary lymph node metastases in patients with T1 breast carcinoma. *Cancer.* 1997;79:1918-22.
12. Fitzgibbons PL, Page DL, Weaver D, Thor AD, Allred DC, Clark GM et al. Prognostic factors in breast cancer. College of American pathologists consensus statement 1999. *Arch Pathol Lab Med.* 2000;124:966-78.
13. Aitken E, Osman M. Factors affecting nodal status in invasive breast cancer: a retrospective analysis of 623 patients. *Breast J.* 2010;16:271-8.
14. Chu KU, Turner RR, Hansen NM, Brennan MB, Bilchik A, Giuliano AE. Do all patients with sentinel node metastasis from breast carcinoma need complete axillary node dissection? *Ann Surg.* 1999;229:536-41.
15. Mainiero MB, Cinelli CM, Koelliker SL, Graves TA, Chung MA. Axillary ultrasound and fine-needle aspiration in the preoperative evaluation of the breast cancer patient: an algorithm based on tumor size and lymph node appearance. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2010;195:1261-7.
16. Alvarez S, Añorbe E, Alcorta P, López F, Alonso I, Cortès I. Role of sonography in the diagnosis of axillary lymph node metastases in breast cancer: a systematic review. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2006;186:1342-8.
17. An YS, Lee DH, Yoon JK, Lee SJ, Kim TH, Kang DK et al. Diagnostic performance of 18F-FDG PET/CT, ultrasonography and MRI. Detection of axillary lymph node metastasis in breast cancer patients. *Nuklearmedizin.* 2013;53:89-94.
18. Kuijs VJ, Moosdorff M, Schipper RJ, Beets-Tan RG, Heuts EM, Keymeulen KB et al. The role of MRI in axillary lymph node imaging in breast cancer patients: a systematic review. *Insights Imaging.* 2015;6:203-15.
19. Stachs A, Gode K, Hartmann S, Stengel B, Nierling U, Dieterich M et al. Accuracy of axillary ultrasound in preoperative nodal staging of breast cancer-size of metastases as limiting factor. *SpringerPlus.* 2013;2:350.
20. Giuliano AE, McCall L, Beitsch P, Whitworth PW, Blumencranz P, Leitch AM et al. Locoregional recurrence after sentinel lymph node dissection with or without axillary dissection in patients with sentinel lymph node metastases. *Ann Surg.* 2010;252:426-33.
21. Rutgers EJ, Donker M, Straver ME, Meijnen P, van de Velde CJH, Mansel RE et al. Radiotherapy or surgery of the axilla after a positive sentinel node in breast cancer (EORTC 10981-22023 AMAROS): a randomised, multicentre, open-label, phase 3 non-inferiority trial. *J Clin Oncol.* 2013;31:LBA1001.
22. Giuliano AE, Hunt KK, Ballman KV, Beitsch PD, Whitworth PW, Blumencranz PW et al. Axillary dissection vs no axillary dissection in women with invasive breast cancer and sentinel node metastasis: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA.* 2011;305:569-75.
23. Rudenstam CM, Zahrieh D, Forbes JF, Crivellari D, Holmberg SB, Rey P. International breast cancer study group. Randomized trial comparing axillary clearance versus no axillary clearance in older patients with breast cancer: first results of International Breast Cancer Study Group trial 10-93. *J Clin Oncol.* 2006;24:337-44.

Cite this article as: Chisthi MM, Kuttanchettiyar KG. Clinico - pathological profile of axillary lymph node status in early breast carcinoma - a tertiary care centre experience. *Int Surg J* 2016;3:776-80.