Original Research Article

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2349-2902.isj20211308

Changes in patient's life after rhinoplasty

Ankit Disawal*, Sunil Srivastava

Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Sawai Man Singh Hospital Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

Received: 23 January 2021 Accepted: 01 March 2021

*Correspondence: Dr. Ankit Disawal.

E-mail: drankitdisawal@gmail.com

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Background: Aesthetic surgeries are performed to improve individual's satisfaction with their appearance and also to improve their psychological health by improving self-confidence and self-esteem. Our study aimed to ascertain patient satisfaction in regard to nose appearance and function with the use of a validated questionnaire before and after rhinoplasty surgery.

Methods: The prospective study was conducted at Department of Plastic and Reconstructive surgery, SMS hospital Jaipur India from October 2018 to February 2020. The rhinoplasty outcome evaluation ROE questionnaire was used to study patient's satisfaction. Patients underwent open rhinoplasty, closed rhinoplasty, primary rhinoplasty, revision rhinoplasty and additional nasal surgery such as septoplasty as per required. Data were collected from 70 patients before and 6 month after surgery. Results before and after surgery were compared.

Results: 70 out of 83 patients completed questionnaires. Most common age group is from 16 to 45 year. Most common reason for rhinoplasty in our study is aesthetic (58.5%), both aesthetic and functional (28.5%), functional (12.8%). Preoperative and postoperative ROE score shows significant improvement after 6 month in functional and aesthetic aspect. Mean preoperative score of all patients were 33.6 and the mean postoperative score were 80.4. Difference in improvement of scores was not significant when groups were divided on the basis of sex, age, concomitant nasal procedure, primary or revision surgery, open or closed rhinoplasty.

Conclusions: Rhinoplasty surgery significantly improved patient quality of life regarding nose function and appearance. ROE questionnaire proves reliable equipment for estimating patient satisfaction.

Keywords: Aesthetics, Patient satisfaction, Quality of life, Questionnaire, Rhinoplasty

INTRODUCTION

Rhinoplasty is one of common cosmetic surgeries. It is complex, technically demanding plastic surgery. Person who are perceived negatively as a result of nasal deformity can have increased difficulty interacting with others in social situations or in the workplace. One main goal of rhinoplasty is to improve the patient's appearance and ultimately relieve his or her social anxiety and persecution complex. Due to increasing self-attention, media awareness and advancement in surgical manoeuvres, cosmetic rhinoplasty is at increasing trend in India. This trend seen in both men and women.

Cosmetic rhinoplasty may affect various aspects of patient's health that could be identified by measuring quality of life (QOL) index. This tool could reflect the long term outcome of procedure by assessment of physical, social, psychological, and emotional aspect of life.³ Evaluation of surgical outcome also measured by patient satisfaction in facial plastic surgery. There is increasing trend of self-reporting on outcome to access effectiveness of cosmetic procedure. The patient's satisfaction depends on gender, age, education level, culture, ethnicity, occupation, economic profile and patient's level of expectation and assessing these factors help in preoperative evaluation.⁴

Difficulty in understanding patient expectations and diversity of the procedure, the post rhinoplasty satisfaction is low.⁵ Surgeon and patient are generally not similarly pleased with the procedure, since the expectations and opinions are different.⁶

ROE is standard, less time consuming, reliable and easily perform questionnaire to evaluate quality of life post rhinoplasty. It allows measure of qualitative aspects such as social, emotional and psychological variables.⁷

This study aimed to access the satisfaction level of patients who underwent rhinoplasty and determine the patient's characteristics and surgery details.

following	rhinoplasty sur	gery. Your comments. Please circle	ents are confide	ential and may be at best characte	best patient outcomes e used to refine surgical rizes your current opinion
1. How well do	you like the a	ppearance of yo	ur nose?		
	Not at all 0	Soemwhat 1	Moderately 2	Very much 3	Completely 4
2. How well ar	e you able to b	reathe through y	our nose?		
	Not at all 0	Soemwhat 1	Moderately 2	Very much 3	Completely 4
3. How much	do you feel you	r friends and lov	ed ones like you	ır nose?	
	Not at all 0	Soemwhat 1	Moderately 2	Very much 3	Completely 4
4. Do you thin	k your current i	nasal appearanc	e limits you soc	ial or professions	al activities?
	Always 0	Usually 1	Sometimes 2	Rarely 3	Never 4
5. How confide	ent are you tha	t your nasal app	earance is the b	est that it can be	17
	Not at all 0	Soemwhat 1	Moderately 2	Very much 3	Completely 4
6. Would you I	ike to surgicall	y alter the appea	arance or function	n of your nose?	
	Definitely	Most likely	Possibly	Probably not	No

Figure 1: Rhinoplasty outcome evaluation.

METHODS

A prospective study was conducted of all individual over 16 year of age that underwent rhinoplasty at Department of Plastic and reconstructive surgery, SMS hospital Jaipur Rajasthan from October 2018 to February 2020. Study was performed after approval from ethical committee. 83 patient were identified but 13 were excluded from study as they were unresponsive to repeated phone calls.

Inclusion criteria

Patients from both genders, between 16 to 60 years of age, nasal procedures such as septoplasty in addition to rhinoplasty were included in the study.

Exclusion criteria

Patients with congenital and neoplastic nasal deformities were excluded.

Informed consent, pre and post-surgery photography taken for documentation, planning and comparison. Preoperatively demographic characteristic, patient

concerns were documented, detailed counselling done. ROE questionnaire was explained, discussed and answered by all patients. Postoperatively evaluation by a phone call at 6 months after surgery by same health professional. All data were compiled and outcome were assessed.

The rhinoplasty outcome evaluation questionnaire composed of six questions (5 about nose shape and 1 about nasal breathing). Each question is scored by the patient on a scale from 0 to 4, where 0 is the most negative answer and 4 the most positive one. The sum of the scores was divided by 24 and multiplied by 100 to obtain a result ranged from 0 to 100. A lower score indicates more dissatisfaction. A positive difference between post-operative and pre-operative scores means improvement after intervention.

Data analysis was done using SPSS. The student's t-test was applied for statistical correlation. The confidence interval was kept at 95%. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

After exclusion of 13 patients due to unresponsiveness, 70 patients included in study. The study composed of 39 female and 31 male patients. Most subjects 57.1% were between 16 to 30 years old and 38.5% were between 30 and 45 years old. Demographics characteristics of the patients are detailed in table.

Table 1: Patient characteristics.

Gender	No. of patients	Percentage
Female	39	55.7
Male	31	44.5
Age (years)		
16 to 30	40	57.1
30 to 45	27	38.5
>45	03	4.2
Literacy		
Ninth grade and below	17	24.2
Twelve grade and undergraduate	41	58.5
Postgraduate and more	12	17.1
Marital status		
Non married	48	68.5
Married	22	31.5

58.5% patients mentioned cosmetic reasons for undergoing rhinoplasty, 12.8% functional and a combination of cosmetic n functional in 28.5% subjects.

The mean ROE score of all patients preoperative was 31.3 and the mean score postoperative was 80.8 at six month. All patients show statistically significant improvement between preoperative and postoperative

score. Mean difference was 49.5 indicates good satisfaction level after surgery.

Table 2: Surgery details.

Reason for surgery	No. of patients	Percentage				
Aesthetic	41	58.5				
Functional	09	12.8				
Both	20	28.5				
Operation type						
Open	44	62.8				
Closed	26	37.1				

There were statistically significant difference in preoperative and postoperative score between patient with low literacy level and high literacy level. Patient with low literacy level shows higher change in ROE score.

Sex and age shows no significant differences in ROE score. Both genders showed a significant improvement between preoperative and postoperative score however there was no difference in mean postoperative score.

Primary rhinoplasty was performed in 81.4% and revision rhinoplasty in 18.5% patients. Septoplasty combined with rhinoplasty was performed in 28 patients.

Surgical technique (open or close), primary versus revision surgery, rhinoplasty with concomitant nasal procedure (e.g. septoplasty) has no significant differences in ROE score after surgery showing outcome of the surgery was the same regardless of different variants and approaches of surgery.

No significant difference between in preoperative and postoperative score among patients operated by different surgeons. No major complications/deformity, no donor site morbidity seen in any patients.

Finally, patients were found more satisfied after rhinoplasty.

DISCUSSION

Goal of rhinoplasty is to restore function and youthful appearance of nose and improve quality of life. Aesthetics of a person's nose can profoundly impact the way he or she is perceived by the outside world. Patient satisfaction is the principal factor that measure success of procedure. Social environment, education, life experience and level of expectation (which may or may not be realistic), all these factors influence patient satisfaction. The measure of satisfaction is difficult as no real standard available.

We conduct our study with ROE questionnaire because it is easy to use and simple scoring system and interpretability of final score. This quantifies the result

from the surgical procedure, assessing respiratory function, quality of life and cosmetic results.

Psychological characteristics (self-consciousness of appearance, self-steam) should be evaluated preoperatively. Facial cosmetic surgeries has a huge psychological impact. Patient with psychological abnormalities may be anxious or distressed and may give unexpected responses even after good surgical corrections. ¹⁰

First reliable questionnaire for plastic surgeries was made by Alsarraf et al.^{11,12} That was later modified for patient seeking rhinoplasty called rhinoplasty outcome evaluation (ROE) by Arima et al.¹³ This measure three qualitative aspect: physical, psychological and social aspects. A gain of a minimum score of 36 is considered improvement. A postoperative operative score of 80% is considered excellent result. This indicates patient is very satisfied.¹⁴

Over the last years, cosmetic surgical procedure are increasing due to growing focus on health, fitness, looks trend of posting selfies on social media and the fact that beautiful people have an advantage in many areas of life. Most of our patient seeking rhinoplasty for aesthetic (58.5%) and combined aesthetic and functional (28.5%).

Previous study shows greater tendency of women and girls to undergo cosmetic rhinoplasty. There are 31 men and 39 female in our study shows men are increasing concerned about their physical appearance. Men also want to feel more confident, sexy and youthful. Female showed higher satisfaction score than men. Khansa et al found that male had a lower satisfaction with rhinoplasty.⁵ Another review study shows that male complaints are vague and they a poor understanding of deformity.¹⁵

Individuals with low literacy level do not show greater tendency for cosmetic surgeries however demonstrating high index of satisfaction which may be due to lower pre surgical expectation and lack of information. These patients need fewer counselling sessions. Patients with higher literacy have more information and knowledge of procedure had higher expectation from surgery, require multiple counselling sessions, express slightly lower satisfication.⁷ Satisfaction level of patient found to be inversely proportional to their level of understanding and knowledge of surgical procedure.

Our mean preoperative score was 31.3 and mean postoperative score was 80.8 at six month. Net gain of 49.5 points after surgery. These is comparable to those reported by Alsarraf et al, which reported mean preoperative score of 38.8 and mean postoperative score was 83.3 with a mean improvement of 44.5. Esteves ET al also showed similar report with mean preoperative score of 32.78 and a mean postoperative score of 81.9

with mean improvement of 49.3.⁷ Finding of our study was replicated by Cingi et al in Turkey. ¹⁶

Our study was prospective study which allows us to choose good candidate for surgery and to access results objectively.

Small sample size, assessment of single evaluation instrument, lack of matched control group is limitations of our study.

Study with larger sample size, longer follow up, use of more specific tool for assessment of quality of life will be appreciated.

CONCLUSION

ROE questionnaire proves to be useful tool for estimating patient's satisfaction in our study. Aesthetic outcome is main factor in determining the patient's satisfaction. Our study shows rhinoplasty providing long term satisfaction, positive effect in patient's lives. It is duty of health professionals to explain the risk of surgery and expected results. Improvement of physical and mental health expected with proper patients selection and successful operation. Rhinoplasty proves its benefits in term of both functional as well as aesthetic outcome.

Funding: No funding sources Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the

Institutional Ethics Committee

REFERENCES

- Robin AA, Copas JB, Jack AB, Kaeser AC, Thomas PJ. Reshaping the psyhe. The concurrent improvement in appearance and mental state after rhinoplasty. Br J Psychiatr. 1988;152:539-43.
- Han SK, Woo HS, Kim WK. Extended incision in open-approach rhinoplasty for Asians. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2002;109:2087-96.
- 3. Whalen GF, Ferrans CE. Quality of life as an outcome in clinical traits and cancer care: a primer for surgeons. J Surg Oncol. 2001:77(4):270-6.
- 4. Meyer L, Jacobsson S. The predictive validity of psychosocial factors for patient's acceptance of rhinoplasty. Ann Plast Surg. 1986;17:513-20.

- 5. Khansa I, Khansa L, Pearson GD. Patient satisfication after rhinoplasty: a social media analysis. Aesthet Surg J. 2015;10:1-5.
- 6. Andretto AC. The central role of the nose in the face and the psyche: review of the nose and the psyche. Aesth Plast Surg. 2007;31:406-10.
- 7. Esteves SS, Goncalves Ferreira M, Almeida JC, Abrunhosa J. Evaluation of aesthetic and functional outcomes in rhinoplasty surgery: a prospective study. Braz J Otorhinolaryngol. 2017;83:552-7.
- 8. Izu SC, Kosugi EM, Lopes AS, Brrandao KV, Sousa LB, Suguri VM, et al. Validation of the rhinoplasty outcome evaluation questionnaire adapted to Brazilian-Portuguese. Qual life Res. 2014;23:953-8.
- 9. Freiberg A, Giguere D, Ross DC, Taylor JR, Bell T, Kerluke LD. Are patients satisfied with results from residents performing aesthetic surgery. Plast Reconstr Surg. 1997;100:1824-31.
- Patnaik U, Nilakantan A, Bajpai R, Addya K. Comprehensive assessment in cosmetic rhinoplasty: the use of the derriford appearance scale for evaluation of patients. Med J armed forces India. 2019;75:184-9.
- 11. Alsarraf R. Outcomes research in facial plastic surgery: a review and new directions. Aesthet Plast Surg. 2000;24:192-107.
- 12. Alsarraf R, Larrabee WF Jr, Anderson A, Murakami CS, Johnson CM Jr. Measuring cosmetic facial plastic surgery outcomes:a pilot study. Arch facial Plast Surg. 2001;3:198-201.
- 13. Arima LM, Velasco LC, Tiago RS. Crooked nose: Outcome evaluations in rhinoplasty. Braz J Otorhinolaryngol. 2011;77:510-5.
- 14. Meningaud JP, Lantieri L, Bertrand JC. Rhinoplasty: an outcome research. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2008;121:251-7.
- 15. Rohrich RJ, Janis JE, Kenkel JM. Male rhinoplasty. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2003;15:1071-86.
- 16. Cingi C, Songu M, Bal C. Outcomes research in rhinoplasty: body image and quality of life. Am J Rhinol Allerg. 2011;25(4):263-7.

Cite this article as: Disawal A, Srivastava S. Changes in patient's life after rhinoplasty. Int Surg J 2021;8:1260-3.