Case Report

Traumatic posterior fossa extradural hematoma: case report and review of literature
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ABSTRACT

Traumatic posterior fossa extradural hematoma (PFEDH) is rare lesion constituting less than 10% all extradural hematomas. Reliance on clinical findings alone is not recommended as these are nonspecific; it is advisable to conduct a computerized tomography (CT) scan of brain. The recommended treatment for traumatic posterior fossa extradural hematoma is surgical evacuation soon after diagnosis because the posterior fossa contains vital structures. There have been an increasing number of cases of traumatic posterior fossa extradural hematoma treated conservatively with good results both in children and in adults. The decision between surgery and observation is still controversial. In this article we present our experience in treating patients with traumatic PFEDH and discuss risk factors and outcome.
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INTRODUCTION

Posterior fossa extradural hematoma (PFEDH) is an uncommon complication of head injury. It accounts for approximately 0.3% of all cranio-cerebral injuries.² It constitutes 2.7-11% of all intracranial epidural hematomas (EDH).² In PFEDH clinical progress may be silent and slow, but sudden deterioration may occurred without significant warning signs. This may cause devastating consequences if not treated promptly.

Management of PFEDH can be either surgical or conservative. Most of the cases of PFEDH are managed by surgically; a small proportion can be managed nonoperatively. There are no specific criteria for deciding on the type of management. Many authors have taken into consideration different criteria for surgical evacuation including a volume >10 ml, >15 mm thickness of hematoma, a midline shift >5 mm or obliteration of perimesencephalic cisterns, displacement of the fourth ventricle and the presence of hydrocephalus.²

There have been an increasing number of cases of traumatic posterior fossa extradural hematoma treated conservatively with good results both in children and in adults. The decision between surgery and observation is still subject of debate.

Herein we present a case of traumatic PFEDH which was treated surgically and good postoperative clinical recovery was observed.

CASE REPORT

A 35 years old female came to emergency department with alleged history of road traffic accident. On examination her Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score was
9/15, pupils bilaterally normal size and sluggishly reacting. Patient had right occipital swelling. Computerised tomography (CT scan) of brain was done which revealed large right sided posterior fossa EDH with mass effect in the form of fourth-ventricle compression. It also revealed right sided undisplaced occipital bone fracture extending up to foramen magnum. Volume of the hematoma was calculated by using the ellipsoid volume equation.

\[
\frac{A \times B \times C}{2}
\]

Where A, B and C are the maximum diameter of hemorrhage in the 3 dimensions. It gives hematoma volume in cubic centimeters.  

**Figure 1:** Noncontrast CT done at admission shows a large, right-sided, hyperdense biconvex PFEDH with mass effect.

**Figure 2:** Supratentorial extension of the EDH in the occipital region.

As per ellipsoid formula volume was,

\[
\frac{4.74 \times 2.60 \times 6.15}{2} = 37.89 \text{ cm}^3
\]

In view of Poor GCS and CT finding patient was taken up for surgery. The patient was positioned prone on a horse-shoe head-rest. A unilateral paramedian suboccipital craniectomy was performed using a high-speed drill. There was large EDH present in right side of the posterior fossa with extension into supratentorial compartment. Evacuation of hematoma was done. After the surgery patient's GCS was dramatically improved from 9 to 15. Postoperative CT imaging was done which revealed complete evacuation of hematoma.

**Figure 3:** A postoperative image showing complete evacuation of the hematoma.

**Figure 4:** Bony windows showing a linear fracture of the occipital bone on the ipsilateral side.

**DISCUSSION**

Posttraumatic posterior fossa extradural hematoma is a rare condition. The Incidence is about 0.3% of all cranioencephalic injuries, and it represents 4% to 12.9% of the entire group of extradural hematomas.  

Mortality is high in PFEDH is due to the small volume of the posterior fossa and contained important structures. A high index of suspicion is needed for timely intervention to prevent morbidity and mortality.  

Presence of occipital subgaleal haematoma and Battle’s sign are clue to the diagnosis of PFEDH. Fracture of the occipital bone is an important sign and it mandates close observation and repeat CT scan later to diagnose these haematomas. Change in GCS or severe headache with vomiting and new onset cerebellar signs are associated features that help to clinch the diagnosis. Hydrocephalus may be observed in as high as 30 percent of cases on the CT scan. In our patient fracture occipital bone and swelling was observed.
CT scan is the investigation of choice to detect PFEDH. The presence of haematoma more than 10 ml, hydrocephalus and displacement of the fourth ventricle are indications for surgery. In our patient volume of hematoma was 37.89 cm³ so we subjected the patient for emergency surgery.

Surgery remains the gold standard for the treatment of symptomatic PFEDH. This may be in the form of suboccipital craniectomy or craniotomy depending on the size of the haematoma.6 The posterior fossa is an uncommon site for epidural haematoma.7 In our patient no supratentorial pathology was present.

Conservative management is an option if the patient is asymptomatic and has good GCS. The patient should be kept under close monitoring in the neurosurgical intensive care unit (ICU). There are some case reports in the literature about these haematomas which resolved spontaneously without any intervention.8

The rapidity of onset and initial GCS are the main factors that determine the overall prognosis. Acute haematomas often carry a high mortality with the range of 12%-70%.9

Many studies indicate that aggressive surgical management is must in all cases of PFEDH to prevent morbidity and mortality.

**CONCLUSION**

The posterior fossa is an uncommon site for epidural haematomas. Clinical progress is silent and slow, but the deterioration is sudden and quick. It can be fatal if not promptly treated. Early recognition is extremely important. The recommended treatment for posterior fossa epidural hematoma is surgical evacuation soon after the diagnosis, since the posterior fossa is small and it contains vital structures.
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