

Original Research Article

Early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis: a comparative study

Rahul Chhajed¹, Ramesh Dumbre², Arun Fernandes², Deepak Phalgune^{3*}

¹Department of Neurosurgery, Lokmanya Tilak Municipal Medical College and Hospital, Sion, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

²Department of General surgery, ³Research Consultant, Poona Hospital and Research Centre, Maharashtra, India

Received: 09 August 2018

Accepted: 05 September 2018

*Correspondence:

Dr. Deepak Phalgune,

E-mail: dphalgune@gmail.com

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Background: Laparoscopic cholecystectomy is now the procedure of choice for patient presenting with acute cholecystitis unless it is contraindicated for technical reason or safety. An attempt was made to compare the outcome and postoperative complications of early vs delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy in acute cholecystitis.

Methods: Fifty patients aged 18 to 64 years having acute cholecystitis admitted for laparoscopic cholecystectomy were included. They were divided into two groups, early (less than 72 hours) and delayed (more than 72 hours up to 6 weeks) laparoscopic cholecystectomy. The patients were followed for three months after the surgery. The primary outcome measures were conversion to open surgery and postoperative complications whereas secondary outcome measures were hospital stay and return to full activity. Comparison of quantitative variables and qualitative variables was done using unpaired student's "t" test and chi-square test/ Fisher's exact test respectively.

Results: Conversion to open cholecystectomy ($p = 0.007$) and post-operative complications ($p = 0.032$) were significantly less in early laparoscopic cholecystectomy group compared to delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy group. Mean days of hospital stay (4.9 versus 7.4 with $p = 0.001$) and mean days of return to full activities (12.6 vs 16.3 days with $p = 0.001$) was significantly less in early laparoscopic surgery group compared to delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy group. Mean duration of surgery was significantly less in early laparoscopic surgery group as compared to delayed laparoscopic surgery group (69.3 versus 108.5 minutes, with $p = 0.001$).

Conclusions: Early laparoscopic cholecystectomy is better choice than delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy in acute cholecystitis.

Keywords: Acute cholecystitis, Conversion to open surgery, Duration of hospital stay, Laparoscopic cholecystectomy, Post-operative complications

INTRODUCTION

A variety of treatments have been offered from time to time for gall bladder (GB) diseases. Cholecystectomy has stayed as one of the best and most accepted treatment modalities for GB diseases. Every year, about 500,000 people all over the world have their gall bladders removed. Acute cholecystitis was traditionally treated

with antibiotics and supportive treatment and cholecystectomy was performed after 6 weeks of the acute episode.¹⁻⁴ The potential hazard of severe complications, if surgery is performed in an area of distorted anatomy caused by acute inflammation was the major concern.⁵ Till date laparoscopic cholecystectomy is considered the 'gold standard' in the treatment of cholelithiasis/cholecystitis and highlights all the

advantages of laparoscopy as minimally invasive surgical aid.⁶ Initially laparoscopic cholecystectomy used to be done in selected cases, but with advances in instrumentation, better visualisation because of new generation cameras and optics, increasing knowledge about the anatomy of the hepato-biliary tree and the surrounding structures and improved surgical skills, surgeons started performing laparoscopic cholecystectomy even in acute cholecystitis, which was initially considered a relative contraindication. It is now the procedure of choice for patient presenting with acute cholecystitis unless it is contraindicated for technical reason or safety. The present study was undertaken to compare the outcome and postoperative complications of early vs delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy in acute cholecystitis.

METHODS

Fifty patients aged more than 21 years of age having acute cholecystitis with clinical and sonographic evidence admitted in Poona Hospital and Research Centre between August 2011 to July 2013 for laparoscopic cholecystectomy and ready to participate in this study were included after explaining potential advantages, and risk. Permission was obtained from ethics committee and scientific advisory committee of the institution. Patients with coagulopathy, severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, end stage liver disease, congestive cardiac failure, obstructive jaundice and pregnant women were excluded from this prospective, observational study.

In the present study, following criteria were used to define acute cholecystitis

- Clinical: Right upper quadrant pain with tenderness (Murphy's sign) and fever
- Sonological: Cholelithiasis (GB Calculi, single /multiple/sludge), thickened GB wall (>3 mm), sonographic Murphy's Sign, peri-cholecystic collection

A thorough clinical history was taken with particular stress on symptoms like pain in right hypochondrium, fever, and vomiting. Physical examination was done to correlate and confirm the diagnosis and assess the patient for operation. Routine investigations in all cases included blood counts, blood sugar level, serum creatinine, liver function tests, chest X-ray, electrocardiogram, HIV and HBsAg. Routine ultrasound was done in all patients.

The operative technique was explained to all the patients and his/her relatives with all the possible risks and written informed consent was obtained for research, laparoscopic surgery and if required open cholecystectomy. All patients were prepared as for routine abdominal surgery with fasting for six hours. We have used general anaesthesia (GA) in all cases. Ryle's tube was passed to deflate the stomach in cases where stomach was distended. The sub-umbilical port of 10 mm

was made after creating pneumoperitoneum with the help of Veress needle. A trocar was inserted using a rotator movement and the entry in the peritoneal cavity was confirmed. The pressure of the CO₂ in the abdomen was allowed to rise up to 14 mm of Hg. The telescope was inserted, camera was connected to it and the initial diagnostic laparoscopy was carried out visualizing the gall bladder. Second port was placed in the epigastrium. This port was a 10 mm cannula inserted under direct vision just below the xiphi-sternum. Third port of 5 mm was inserted through a right sub-costal incision. The gall bladder was visualized, and port placed near it, slightly lateral to the fundus of gall bladder. Fourth port, another 5 mm cannula was placed laterally in anterior axillary line under direct vision. This port was directed towards the fundus of the gall bladder.

The Calot's triangle was identified by holding gall bladder fundus by a grasper. Adhesions if any were separated or divided after cauterization. The fundus of the gall bladder was pushed up and to the right over the liver using grasping forceps. Further retraction was done by the second grasper holding the gall bladder neck exposing the Calot's triangle. Cystic duct was dissected, the duct was well skeletonized. Two clips were applied on the cystic duct, one towards the common bile duct and one towards the gall bladder. The cystic duct was divided. Cystic artery was defined, dissected, doubly clipped and divided. Gall bladder was lifted from its bed and dissected by diathermy hook. Haemostasis was secured. Gall bladder was extracted through the epi-gastric port. We kept a No. 14 or 16 Ryle's tube as a drain in gall bladder fossa in some patient's (gangrenous GB, emphysematous or perforated GB). This was placed through fourth port. Closure of the 10 mm ports was done with 1-0/2-0 vicryl. Skin closure was done with 2-0/3-0 ethilon.

After one hour in the recovery room, the patient was shifted to the ward. Pain relief was obtained by diclofenac or paracetamol injection. Parenteral antibiotics were continued for 48-72 hours. The Ryle's tube was removed after 12 hours and oral clear liquids commenced on the evening of surgery if there is no nausea or vomiting. The drainage tube (if placed) was removed after 24 hours if there is no significant drain. Patients were discharged on 3rd - 4th postoperative day in most of the cases. The patients were evaluated daily during their stay in the hospital. On discharge, they were requested to attend outpatient department on day seven, one month and three months. At each assessment, the patients were evaluated in detail and the data was collected in individual proforma. At the end of the study the entire data was collected and analysed. We defined operation of cholecystectomy within 72 h of presentation as 'early' laparoscopic cholecystectomy and anywhere thereafter up to 6 weeks as 'delayed' laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

Data collected were entered in the Excel 2007 and analysis of data was done using Statistical Package for

Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20, IBM, USA. The comparison of quantitative variables between the groups such as mean age, mean duration of surgery, mean hospital stay, and mean days to return to full activity was done using unpaired student's "t" test, whereas comparison of qualitative variables such as gender, complications of surgery and conversion to open surgery was done by using chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. The confidence limit for significance was fixed at 95% level with p-value < 0.05.

RESULTS

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy was performed on 50 patients confirmed as acute cholecystitis between August 2011 to July 2013. The mean age of patients was 42 years. In all 64% of patients were female and 36% were male. They were followed up on day seven, one month and three months.

Table 1: Characteristics of patients at baseline.

Characteristics	Early laparoscopic cholecystectomy (N= 30)	Delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy (N = 20)	p-value
Mean age in years (SD)	44.2 (\pm 11.4)	39.5 (\pm 11.7)	0.165
Gender (%)			
Males	20 (66.7)	12 (60.0)	0.765
Females	10 (33.3)	8 (40.0)	

Table 2: Clinical outcome.

Characteristics	Early laparoscopic cholecystectomy (N = 30)	Delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy (N = 20)	p-value
Duration of surgery in minutes (SD)	69.3 (±15.3)	108.5 (±16.9)	0.001
Complications (%)			
Yes	1 (3.3)	5 (25.0)	0.032
No	29 (96.7)	15 (75.0)	
Conversion to open surgery			
Yes	0 (00.0)	5 (25.0)	0.007
No	30 (100.0)	15 (75.0)	
Mean hospital stay in days (SD)	4.9 (±2.1)	7.4 (±1.8)	0.001
Mean days to return full activity (SD)	12.6 (±3.3)	16.3 (±2.4)	0.001

As depicted in Table 1, there was no statistically significant difference between mean age and gender in both the groups. As shown in Table 2, there was a statistically significant difference in duration of surgery, conversion to open surgery, post-operative complications, mean duration of hospital stay and mean days to return to the full activities in both the groups. Delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy group had longer duration of surgery, more conversion to open surgery, higher percentage of post-operative complications, longer duration of hospital stay and longer duration of return to full activities as compared to early laparoscopic cholecystectomy group.

Out of 50 laparoscopic cholecystectomies, six cases (12%) had complications. Two patients had minor bile leak managed endoscopically, two patients had wound infection managed with antibiotics and dressing, one patient had sub-hepatic collection managed conservatively, one patient had bowel herniation at port site diagnosed on X-ray abdomen as obstruction and on ultrasonography as bowel herniation through the port site. This patient was explored locally through laparotomy

under GA on the next day. Bowel was inflamed but viable. Patient improved and was discharged on 10th day without any complaint. Majority of these complications were found in delayed cases. Five out of 50 cases of laparoscopic cholecystectomy needed to be converted to open cholecystectomy. Conversion to open cholecystectomy of all these five patients was done while performing delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy i.e. after 72 hours of presentation. Conversion to open cholecystectomy occurred in three cases due to dense adhesion and in two cases due to bleeding.

DISCUSSION

The present research was conducted to compare the outcome and postoperative complications of early vs delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy in acute cholecystitis in 50 patients.

Duration of surgery

In the present study duration of surgery was 108.5 (\pm 16.9) minutes in delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy

group as compared to 69.3(\pm 15.3) minutes early laparoscopic cholecystectomy group which was statistically significant. Jarrar MS et al reported that duration of surgery was significantly longer for delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy group as compared to early laparoscopic cholecystectomy group (97 minutes versus 82.17 minutes, $p = 0.003$).⁷ The finding is similar to the present study. Uysal E et al reported that there was no statistically significant difference in the duration of operation among the groups whereas Chang TC et al reported that patients undergoing early laparoscopic cholecystectomy had significantly longer operation time as compared to delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy group (109 \pm 37.59 minutes versus 77 \pm 25.65 minutes, $p < 0.001$).^{8,9}

Conversion to open surgery

In the present study there was 5/25 (20%) conversion to open cholecystectomy in delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy group whereas there was no conversion in early laparoscopic cholecystectomy group. The finding of the present study substantiated the results of Jarrar MS et al (20% in delayed group versus 11.6% in early group).⁷

Various studies reported that there was no statistically significant difference in conversion rates among the groups.⁸⁻¹¹ Contrary to above studies, Minutolo V et al reported that conversion rate was higher in early laparoscopic cholecystectomy group.¹²

Post-operative stay

In the present study post-operative stay was 7.4 (\pm 1.8) days in delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy group whereas it was 4.9 (\pm 2.1) days in early laparoscopic cholecystectomy group which was statistically significant. Similar results were reported by various studies.⁷⁻¹²

Various studies depicted in table 3 show that mean days of hospital stay for early laparoscopic cholecystectomy was significantly less as compared to delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Present study substantiates the findings of these studies. There was increased post-operative stay because of the complications most of which were managed conservatively.

Table 3: Mean total hospital stay.

Name of the author	Mean total hospital stay (in days)		
	Early laparoscopic cholecystectomy	Delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy	Significance
Garber SM et al ¹³	5.5	10.8	S
Lai PB et al ⁵	7.6	11.6	S
Lo CM et al ¹⁴	6	11	S
Johansson M et al ¹⁵	5	8	S
Madan AK et al ¹⁶	2.1	5.4	S
Jarrar MS et al ⁷	1.84	3.34	S
Chang TC et al ⁹	4.53	7.79	S
Present study	4.9	7.4	S

S: Statistically significant

In the present study the mean time required for return to normal activities or normal work was 12.5 days for early laparoscopic cholecystectomy group whereas it was 16.3 days in delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy which was statistically significant. Lo CM et al reported mean time required for return to normal activities 12 and 19 days for early laparoscopic cholecystectomy group and delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy group which was statistically significant.¹⁴ The results are respectively similar to our study. Limitation of the study was that it was conducted on small number of patient population of fifty and patients could not be randomized.

CONCLUSION

The duration of surgery, post-operative complications, conversion to open cholecystectomy, mean days of hospital stay and mean days of return to full activities

was less in early laparoscopic surgery group as compared to delayed laparoscopic surgery group in acute cholecystitis.

Funding: No funding sources

Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee

REFERENCES

1. Cuschieri A. Approach to the treatment of acute cholecystitis: open surgical, laparoscopic or endoscope? *Endoscopy*. 1993;25:397-8.
2. Järvinen HJ, Hästbacka J. Early cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis: a prospective randomized study. *Ann Surg*. 1980;191:501.
3. Siddiqui T, MacDonald A, Chong PS, Jenkins JT. Early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy

- for acute cholecystitis: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Am J Surg*. 2008;195:40-7.
4. Takada T, Kawarada Y, Nimura Y, Yoshida M, Mayumi T, Sekimoto M, et al. Background: Tokyo guidelines for the management of acute cholangitis and cholecystitis. *J Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Sci*. 2007;14:1-0.
 5. Lai PB, Kwong KH, Leung KL, Kwok SP, Chan AC, Chung SC et al. Randomized trial of early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis. *British J Surg*. 1998;85:764-7.
 6. Soper NJ, Stockmannpt, Dunnegan DL, Ashley SW. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy. The new 'gold standard'? *Arch Surg*. 1992;127:917-21.
 7. Jarrar MS, Chouchène I, Fadhl H, Ghrissi R, Elghali A, Ferhi F, et al. Early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for lithiasic acute cholecystitis during emergency admissions. results of a monocentric experience and review of the literature. *Tunis Med*. 2016;94:519-24.
 8. Uysal E, Turel KS, Sipahi M, Isik O, Yilmaz N, Yilmaz FA. Comparison of early and interval laparoscopic cholecystectomy for treatment of acute cholecystitis. Which is better? A multicentered study. *Surgical Laparoscopy Endoscopy Percutaneous Techniques*. 2016;26:e117-21.
 9. Chang TC, Lin MT, Wu MH, Wang MY, Lee PH. Evaluation of early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy in the treatment of acute cholecystitis. *Hepato-Gastroenterol*. 2009;56:26-8.
 10. Zhou MW, Gu XD, Xiang JB, Chen ZY. Comparison of clinical safety and outcomes of early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis: a meta-analysis. *Sci World J*. 2014;14:2014.
 11. Rouf Gul RA, Sheikh RA, Salroo NA, Matoo AR, Wani SH. Comparison of early and delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis: experience from a single center. *North Am J Med Sci*. 2013;5:414.
 12. Minutolo V, Licciardello A, Arena M, Nicosia A, Di Stefano B, Cali G, et al. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in the treatment of acute cholecystitis: comparison of outcomes and costs between early and delayed cholecystectomy. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci*. 2014;18:40-6.
 13. Garber SM, Korman J, Cosgrove JM, Cohen JR. Early laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis. *Surgical endoscopy*. 1997;11:347-50.
 14. Lo CM, Liu CL, Fan ST, Lai EC, Wong J. Prospective randomized study of early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis. *Ann Surg*. 1998;227:461.
 15. Johansson M, Thune A, Blomqvist A, Nelvin L, Lundell L. Management of acute cholecystitis in the laparoscopic era: results of a prospective, randomized clinical trial. *J Gastrointestinal Surg*. 2003;7:642-5.
 16. Madan AK, Aliabadi-Wahle S, Tesi D, Flint LM, Steinberg SM. How early is early laparoscopic treatment of acute cholecystitis? *Am J Surg*. 2002;183:232-6.

Cite this article as: Chhajed R, Dumbre R, Fernandes A, Phalgune D. Early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis: a comparative study. *Int Surg J* 2018;5:3381-5.