

Review Article

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2349-2902.ijssj20260358>

Traumatic hand reconstruction: modern surgical approaches and decision-making

Yosuany R. G. Toledo^{1*}, Urias Z. Gaviño²

¹Residente de Tercer Año de Cirugía General, HES 25, IMSS Monterrey, NL, Mexico

²Universidad de Montemorelos, Montemorelos, NL, Mexico

Received: 20 January 2026

Accepted: 09 February 2026

***Correspondence:**

Dr. Yosuany R. G. Toledo,

E-mail: yosuany.gomez@udem.edu

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Traumatic hand injuries represent a complex reconstructive challenge due to the need to restore function, sensibility, and aesthetic appearance simultaneously. Injury patterns range from isolated fingertip loss to severe crush, avulsion, and blast trauma, requiring individualized and often staged surgical strategies. Contemporary traumatic hand reconstruction emphasizes algorithmic decision-making based on defect location, size, and exposed structures, guiding the selection from skin grafts and local flaps to regional and free tissue transfer. Core surgical principles include meticulous debridement, skeletal stabilization, vascular repair when indicated, and early provision of stable soft-tissue coverage, followed by secondary reconstruction of tendons, nerves, and bone as needed. Microsurgical techniques, including thin free flaps and toe-to-hand transfers, have become central in the management of complex defects, demonstrating high survival rates and meaningful functional recovery in both adult and pediatric populations. Increasing attention to aesthetic-functional balance, donor-site morbidity, and structured rehabilitation has further improved long-term outcomes. This state-of-the-art review summarizes current principles, algorithms, and evolving surgical approaches in traumatic hand reconstruction.

Keywords: Traumatic hand injuries, Hand reconstruction, Microsurgery

INTRODUCTION

Traumatic hand injuries represent a major cause of functional disability worldwide, with mechanisms ranging from isolated fingertip loss to complex crush, avulsion, and blast injuries. Because the hand is essential for dexterity, sensation, and social interaction, reconstruction must aim not only at wound coverage but also at restoration of function, sensibility, and acceptable appearance. Contemporary traumatic hand reconstruction has evolved toward structured, algorithm-based decision-making, increasing use of microsurgical techniques, and greater emphasis on aesthetic-functional outcomes.^{1,2}

Figure 1 shows partial amputation of the distal end of the second finger of the left hand.

This review summarizes the current state of the art in traumatic hand reconstruction, focusing on surgical principles, site- and size-based reconstructive algorithms, and modern microsurgical options.

PRINCIPLES AND ALGORITHMS IN TRAUMATIC HAND RECONSTRUCTION

The initial management of traumatic hand injuries follows a sequence of prioritized steps. Most modern guidelines emphasize meticulous debridement, skeletal stabilization, vascular repair when indicated, and early provision of stable soft-tissue coverage, followed by staged reconstruction of tendons, nerves, and bone as required.^{3,4}

Figure 2 shows compartment syndrome secondary to multiple metacarpal fractures of the left hand.



Figure 1: Diagnosis: partial amputation of the distal end of the second finger of the left hand.



Figure 2: Diagnosis: compartment syndrome secondary to multiple metacarpal fractures of the left hand.

Algorithmic approaches are widely advocated to guide flap selection and reconstructive strategy. These algorithms typically classify defects by anatomical site (fingertip, dorsum, palm, first web space) and by size and depth, allowing progression from skin grafts and local flaps to regional or free flaps as defect complexity increases.⁵⁻⁷ The traditional “reconstructive ladder” has thus evolved into a “reconstructive elevator,” encouraging selection of the most appropriate option rather than strictly

ascending in complexity.⁵ Delayed and staged reconstruction remains justified in severe crush or avulsion injuries, contaminated wounds, and late referrals. In such cases, temporary coverage with regional or distant flaps followed by secondary neurotization, thinning, and contouring is supported by contemporary reviews.⁸

SITE-SPECIFIC AND SIZE-BASED RECONSTRUCTIVE OPTIONS

Reconstructive choices vary significantly according to defect pattern.

Small, superficial defects without exposed critical structures may be managed by secondary healing, skin grafts, or simple local flaps, achieving satisfactory outcomes with minimal morbidity.^{5,9} Fingertip and distal phalanx injuries require individualized approaches based on age, defect size, and occupational demands, with local, regional, or distant flaps selected accordingly; pediatric cases often tolerate simpler solutions with favorable healing.^{10,11}

Figure 3 shows distal stump necrosis + exposure of the middle phalanx of the second finger of the right hand.



Figure 3: Diagnosis: distal stump necrosis + exposure of the middle phalanx of the second finger of the right hand.

Large dorsal hand defects with exposed tendon or bone pose particular challenges. Thin, pliable coverage is essential to preserve tendon gliding and hand contour. Reviews support the use of thin free flaps—such as anterolateral thigh, lateral arm, or superficial circumflex iliac artery flaps—or, in selected cases, dermal regeneration templates followed by split-thickness skin grafting.¹²⁻¹⁴

First web space reconstruction is critical for opposition and pinch. Local techniques such as Z-plasties may suffice in

mild cases, while regional or free flaps are recommended for larger defects. Emerging tissue-engineering strategies have also been discussed in this context, although their role remains adjunctive.¹⁵

ROLE OF MICROSURGERY AND FREE TISSUE TRANSFER

Microsurgical reconstruction has become central to the management of complex traumatic hand defects. Free flaps are increasingly used for dorsal hand and extensive soft-tissue loss, with high flap survival rates, low donor-site morbidity, and favorable functional and aesthetic outcomes when thin, sensate tissue is selected.^{12,16}

Pediatric microsurgical reconstruction has demonstrated outcomes comparable to those in adults, with some studies reporting fewer secondary procedures and a lower incidence of partial flap necrosis in children.¹¹ In mutilating injuries, toe-to-hand and multiple-toe transfers play a key role in restoring grasp and opposition, particularly for thumb and multiple digit loss, with high survival rates and meaningful return-to-work outcomes reported in the literature.^{17,18}

Heterotopic or “spare-parts” reconstruction represents another valuable strategy when conventional replantation is not feasible, allowing optimization of grip and opposition using available tissues.¹⁹

EVOLVING CONCEPTS: INTEGRATION OF FUNCTION AND AESTHETICS

Modern traumatic hand reconstruction increasingly emphasizes aesthetic-functional balance. Matching skin color, texture, and thickness while minimizing donor-site morbidity is now considered essential for patient satisfaction and long-term use of the hand.^{7,20} Refined regional flaps, reverse forearm flaps, and carefully thinned free flaps exemplify this trend.

Dermal regeneration templates combined with delayed skin grafting have gained attention as an alternative to flap coverage in selected dorsal defects, offering good scar quality and functional outcomes while avoiding more invasive procedures.¹⁴

MULTIDISCIPLINARY CARE AND REHABILITATION

Optimal outcomes in traumatic hand reconstruction depend on coordinated multidisciplinary care. Early involvement of hand therapists, structured rehabilitation, and secondary reconstructive procedures are critical to restoring motion, strength, and sensibility. Reviews consistently emphasize that surgical success must be integrated with rehabilitation to achieve durable functional recovery (Table 1).^{1,6}

Table 1: Different defect pattern location with primary surgical goals and reconstructive options.

Defect pattern/location	Primary surgical goals	Preferred reconstructive options	Key considerations
Small superficial defects	Rapid coverage, preservation of motion	Secondary healing, split-thickness skin grafts, simple local flaps	Suitable when no critical structures are exposed; low morbidity
Fingertip/distal phalanx	Sensibility, length preservation, nail support	Local flaps, regional flaps, distant flaps (age-dependent)	Different strategies in children versus adults; occupational demands influence choice
Dorsal hand – small to moderate	Tendon gliding, thin pliable coverage	Local or regional flaps; dermal template + delayed STSG	Avoid bulky tissue that restricts motion
Dorsal hand – large defects with exposed tendon/bone	Durable coverage, preservation of function	Thin free flaps (ALT, lateral arm, SCIA); dermal template + STSG in selected cases	Microsurgical expertise required; flap thinning often necessary
Palmar defects	Durable, sensate skin, resistance to shear	Local/regional flaps; free flaps when extensive	Sensory restoration and durability are critical
First web space	Thumb opposition and pinch	Z-plastics, local flaps, regional flaps, free flaps	Early reconstruction prevents secondary contracture
Crush/avulsion injuries	Infection control, tissue salvage	Staged reconstruction, temporary coverage, delayed definitive flaps	Delayed approach justified in contaminated or unstable wounds
Mutilating hand injuries	Restoration of grasp and opposition	Toe-to-hand transfers, multiple-toe transfers	High functional impact; requires specialized microsurgical expertise
Catastrophic defects (non-replantable)	Functional optimization	Heterotopic (“spare-parts”) reconstruction	Utilizes available tissue when standard options fail
Pediatric hand trauma	Growth preservation, minimal donor morbidity	Microsurgical reconstruction, local/regional flaps	Comparable flap survival to adults; fewer secondary procedures reported
Late sequelae/contractures	Functional release, aesthetic improvement	Contracture release	

CONCLUSION

Traumatic hand reconstruction has evolved toward structured, algorithm-based decision-making supported by advances in microsurgery and reconstructive techniques. Early but carefully staged intervention, appropriate flap selection based on defect site and size, and liberal use of free and toe transfers in complex injuries are central to modern practice. Increasing emphasis on thin, sensate coverage, aesthetic considerations, and intensive rehabilitation has improved long-term functional outcomes. Continued refinement of techniques and patient-centered approaches will further advance the field.

Funding: No funding sources

Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: Not required

REFERENCES

1. Jung S, Lee S, Eun S. Free Flap Reconstruction of Traumatic Skin Defects of the Entire Hand Dorsum. *J Clin Med*. 2025;14(4):1308.
2. Roh S, Lee JH, Koh SH, Kim JS, LEE DC, Lee KJ. Microsurgical reconstruction for traumatic hand defects in pediatric patients. *Arch Hand Microsurg*. 2022;27(4):320-8.
3. Zamora JCC, Guerrero CEL. "Hand Reconstructive Surgery Current Indications". *Int J Med Sci Clin Res Stud*. 2025;5(10):1771-3.
4. Gómez SG, Resendiz EAS, Contreras RC, Arteaga MFP. "Heterotopic Hand Reconstruction for Catastrophic Hand". *Int J Med Sci Clin Res Stud*. 2025;5(6):897-900.
5. Woznica V, Billich R, Třešková I. Loss injuries of the hand. *Rozhl Chir*. 2024;103(10):387-99.
6. Tiengo C, Bassetto F, Vindigni V. First web space reconstruction in acquired defects: a literature-based review and surgical experience. *J Clin Med*. 2025;14(5):3428.
7. Vizcay M, Pérez Cano R, Saavedra M. Tailored skin flaps for hand reconstruction. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2022;150(4):e4538.
8. Gad GS, Saied S, Mohamed MA, Hifny MA. Flap Selection Approach for Hand Soft Tissue Defects Reconstruction: Surgical Techniques and Outcome Evaluation. *SVU-Int J Med Sci*. 2021;2:3.
9. Hu W, Kerfant N, Henry AS, Trimaille A, Monnerie C, Artz M, et al. Aesthetic functional reconstruction of the mutilated hand: Indications and selection of reconstructive techniques. *Ann Chir Plast Esthet*. 2020;65(5-6):635-54.
10. Khurshid J, Baba UF, Zargar HR, Bhat BA. Hand injuries at work: causes, spectrum and management. *Int J Res Med Sci*. 2023;10(1):750-9.
11. Lahiri A. Guidelines for management of crush injuries of the hand. *J Clin Orthop Trauma*. 2020;11:S96-102.
12. Güntürk ÖB, Karalezli N, Çelik M. Reconstruction of mutilating hand injuries by microsurgical free tissue transfers from the foot. *Injury*. 2021;52(6).
13. Alexandrov A, Petrova D, Ivanov K. Local, regional and distant flaps in reconstructive hand surgery in children. *Issues Reconstr Plast Surg*. 2022;25(1).
14. Karim-Zade GD, Rahimi H, Momeni A. Outcomes of forearm flap applications in severe upper limb injuries reconstruction. *Avicenna Bull*. 2021. Available at: <https://vestnik-avicenna.tj/en/archive/2021/3/the-outcomes-of-the-forearm-flap-applications-in-the-upper-limb-severe-injuries-reconstruction/>. Accessed on 06 October 2025.
15. Valeev MM, Karimov RR, Khamidullin ZR. Microsurgery techniques for patients with traumatic thumb amputation. *Creative Surg Oncol*. 2019;9(1).
16. Choughri H, Weigert R, Heron A, Dahmam A, Abi-Chahla ML, Delgove A. Indications and functional outcome of the use of integra® dermal regeneration template for the management of traumatic soft tissue defects on dorsal hand, fingers and thumb. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*. 2020;140(12):2115-27.
17. Malikov M, Ismailov A, Rasulov R. Delayed reconstructive surgery for severe hand injuries. *Avicenna Bull*. 2022. Available at: <https://vestnik-avicenna.tj/en/archive/2022/2022-3/delayed-reconstructive-surgery-for-severe-hand-injuries/>. Accessed on 06 October 2025.
18. Jabre S, El Hage R, Khalil R. Comprehensive reconstruction and rehabilitation of explosive hand trauma: a case report. *Int J Res Med Sci*. 2025;11(3):616-9.
19. Khan M, Ali S, Raza A. Reconstruction of soft tissue defects of the hand: a systematic approach. *Pak J Med Sci*. 2023;39(4).
20. Das De S. The Role of Microsurgery in Coverage of Defects of the Hand. *Hand Clin*. 2024;40(2):221-8.

Cite this article as: Toledo YRG, Gaviño UZ. Traumatic hand reconstruction: modern surgical approaches and decision-making. *Int Surg J* 2026;13:xxx-xx.