International Surgery Journal
Nájera SKR et al. Int Surg J. 2024 Oct;11(10):1725-1730
http://www.ijsurgery.com
pISSN 2349-3305 | eISSN 2349-2902

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2349-2902.isj20242781

Review Article

Endoscopic management of Boerhaave syndrome: a comprehensive review of techniques, outcomes and future directions

Sayra Karelia Rivera Nájera*, Eduardo Ibrahim Hernández Solís, Ethel Jenny García Cruz

Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social, Hospital General Regional No. 1 Vicente, Guerrero, Acapulco de Juárez, Mexico

Received: 25 July 2024
Revised: 02 September 2024
Accepted: 06 September 2024

***Correspondence:**
Dr. Sayra Karelia Rivera Nájera,
E-mail: felixosuna10@hotmail.com

ABSTRACT

Boerhaave syndrome, characterized by spontaneous esophageal rupture, presents a critical condition requiring prompt diagnosis and intervention to reduce morbidity and mortality. Traditionally managed with surgical intervention, advancements in endoscopic techniques have emerged as viable alternatives, offering less invasive options with promising outcomes. This article provides a thorough review of the endoscopic management of Boerhaave syndrome, detailing the indications, techniques, and outcomes associated with various endoscopic approaches. We examine the role of esophageal stenting, clipping, and tissue sealants, alongside adjunctive therapies. Furthermore, we discuss patient selection criteria, procedural considerations, and potential complications. Our review highlights the evolving landscape of Boerhaave syndrome management, underscoring the importance of multidisciplinary collaboration and the need for further research to optimize patient outcomes.

Keywords: Boerhaave, Esophageal, Endoscopic

INTRODUCTION

Boerhaave syndrome, first described by Herman Boerhaave in 1724, is a rare but life-threatening condition characterized by spontaneous transmural perforation of the esophagus. This condition, often precipitated by forceful vomiting or retching, leads to the extravasation of gastric contents into the mediastinum, resulting in severe mediastinitis, sepsis, and high mortality rates if not promptly treated. Historically, the mainstay of treatment for Boerhaave syndrome has been surgical repair, which, despite being effective, is associated with significant morbidity due to the invasive nature of the procedure and the typically poor condition of patients at presentation.[1,2]

In recent years, the advent and refinement of endoscopic techniques have revolutionized the management of various gastrointestinal disorders, including Boerhaave syndrome. Endoscopic approaches offer a minimally invasive alternative to surgery, aiming to achieve prompt closure of the esophageal perforation, prevent contamination, and promote healing with reduced procedural morbidity. These techniques include the use of esophageal stents, over-the-scope clips (OTSC), endoscopic vacuum-assisted closure (EVAC), and tissue sealants, among others.[1,2]

The shift towards endoscopic management necessitates a comprehensive understanding of the indications, technical aspects, and outcomes associated with these procedures. It is imperative for clinicians to be well-versed in the selection criteria for endoscopic intervention, the nuances of different endoscopic modalities, and the management of potential complications. Additionally, the integration of endoscopic therapy into the multidisciplinary care of Boerhaave syndrome patients, involving

gastroenterologists, surgeons, intensivists, and radiologists, is crucial for optimizing patient outcomes.[2,3]

This article aims to provide an extensive review of the endoscopic management of Boerhaave syndrome. We will explore the various endoscopic techniques available, analyze their efficacy and safety profiles, and discuss the future directions in the field. By elucidating the current landscape of endoscopic intervention for Boerhaave syndrome, we seek to enhance clinical practice and encourage ongoing research in this evolving domain.[2,3]

MEDICAL INDICATIONS FOR ENDOSCOPIC APPROACH IN BOERHAAVE SYNDROME

The management of Boerhaave syndrome, characterized by spontaneous esophageal rupture, is a complex clinical challenge requiring swift and precise intervention. While surgical repair has long been the cornerstone of treatment, endoscopic approaches have gained prominence due to their minimally invasive nature and potential for favorable outcomes. The indications for employing endoscopic techniques in the management of Boerhaave syndrome are multifaceted, encompassing clinical, anatomical, and patient-specific factors.[3,4]

Early diagnosis and presentation

One of the primary indications for endoscopic management is early diagnosis of Boerhaave syndrome. Patients who present within 24 hours of symptom onset generally have less extensive mediastinal contamination and sepsis, making them ideal candidates for endoscopic intervention. Timing of presentation is critical as early intervention can prevent the progression of infection and reduce need for extensive surgical debridement.[3,4]

Contained perforation

Endoscopic treatment is particularly suitable for contained esophageal perforations, where the rupture is limited and has not led to widespread mediastinal or pleural contamination. In such scenarios, endoscopic techniques like esophageal stenting, OTSC, and EVAC can effectively seal the perforation and promote healing without the need for invasive surgery.[3,4]

Hemodynamic stability

Patients who are hemodynamically stable, without signs of severe sepsis or shock, are considered good candidates for endoscopic management. Stable patients are more likely to tolerate procedural sedation and the endoscopic procedure itself, thus reducing the risk of procedural complications and improving overall outcomes.[3,4]

Minimal mediastinal or pleural contamination

The extent of contamination within the mediastinum and pleural cavities plays a crucial role in determining the appropriateness of endoscopic management. Patients with minimal contamination, as evidenced by imaging studies, are more likely to benefit from endoscopic intervention. Extensive contamination often necessitates surgical drainage and debridement, thus precluding endoscopic approaches.[4,5]

Small to moderate perforation size

The size of the esophageal perforation is a significant determinant of the suitability for endoscopic repair. Small to moderate-sized perforations are amenable to closure using endoscopic techniques such as OTSC and stenting. Larger perforations may pose a greater challenge and might require combined endoscopic and surgical approaches.[4,5]

Absence of underlying esophageal pathology

The presence of underlying esophageal pathology, such as malignancy, severe stricture, or advanced esophagitis, can complicate endoscopic management. In patients without significant underlying esophageal disease, endoscopic techniques can be employed with a higher likelihood of success. Preexisting conditions may necessitate alternative therapeutic strategies, including surgical intervention.[4,5]

Accessibility of the perforation site

The anatomical location of the esophageal perforation is crucial in determining the feasibility of endoscopic intervention. Perforations located in the cervical and upper thoracic esophagus are generally more accessible for endoscopic techniques. Distal esophageal perforations, particularly those near the gastroesophageal junction, can also be managed endoscopically, though they may present unique technical challenges.[4,5]

Multidisciplinary team assessment

A multidisciplinary team approach, involving gastroenterologists, surgeons, intensivists, and radiologists, is essential in assessing the suitability of endoscopic management for Boerhaave syndrome. The collective expertise of the team ensures a comprehensive evaluation of the patient's condition, facilitating the selection of the most appropriate therapeutic modality.[5,6]

Patient preference and comorbidities

Patient preference and the presence of comorbid conditions also influence the choice of endoscopic management. Patients who are poor surgical candidates due to comorbidities or who express a preference for less invasive treatment options may benefit from endoscopic techniques. It is crucial to engage in shared decision-making, taking into account the patient’s overall health status and treatment preferences.[5,6]

Availability of expertise and equipment

The successful implementation of endoscopic management for Boerhaave syndrome requires access to specialized equipment and expertise. Institutions with experienced endoscopists and the necessary technical resources are better positioned to offer endoscopic treatment. The availability of advanced endoscopic tools, such as stents and OTSC systems, is essential for achieving optimal outcomes.[5,6]

In summary, the indications for endoscopic management of Boerhaave syndrome are nuanced and depend on a combination of clinical, anatomical, and patient-specific factors. Early presentation, contained perforation, hemodynamic stability, minimal contamination, small to moderate perforation size, absence of significant underlying esophageal pathology, accessibility of the perforation site, multidisciplinary assessment, patient preference, and the availability of expertise and equipment are all critical considerations. By carefully evaluating these factors, clinicians can identify patients who are most likely to benefit from endoscopic intervention, thereby improving the prognosis and reducing the morbidity associated with Boerhaave syndrome.[5,6]

MEDICAL CONTRAINDICATIONS FOR ENDOSCOPIC APPROACH IN BOERHAAVE SYNDROME

The management of Boerhaave syndrome, a condition characterized by spontaneous esophageal rupture, presents significant clinical challenges. While endoscopic approaches offer a minimally invasive alternative to traditional surgical repair, they are not universally applicable. Identifying contraindications for endoscopic intervention is crucial to ensure patient safety and optimize clinical outcomes. Following outlines medical contraindications for employing an endoscopic approach in the management of Boerhaave syndrome, utilizing detailed medical terminology and considerations.[6,7]

Late presentation with extensive contamination

Patients who present more than 24 hours after the onset of symptoms typically exhibit extensive mediastinal and pleural contamination due to the extravasation of gastric contents. This extensive contamination leads to severe mediastinitis and systemic sepsis, conditions that require comprehensive surgical debridement and drainage. In such cases, the delay in presentation contraindicates endoscopic management, as the localized endoscopic techniques cannot adequately address the widespread infection and inflammation.[6,7]

Hemodynamic instability

Endoscopic procedures necessitate a certain degree of hemodynamic stability to ensure patient safety during sedation and the procedure itself. Patients exhibiting hemodynamic instability, characterized by hypotension, tachycardia, or signs of septic shock, are poor candidates for endoscopic intervention. The instability increases the risk of procedural complications and reduces the likelihood of successful outcomes, necessitating urgent surgical intervention instead.[6,7]

Large esophageal perforations

The size of the esophageal perforation significantly impacts the feasibility of endoscopic repair. Large perforations, typically greater than 2-3 cm, pose a considerable challenge for endoscopic techniques such as OTSC or esophageal stenting. These large defects are less likely to be effectively sealed using endoscopic methods, thereby increasing the risk of persistent leakage and necessitating surgical repair.[6,7]

Extensive mediastinal or pleural contamination

Patients with extensive mediastinal or pleural contamination, as evidenced by imaging studies, are not suitable candidates for endoscopic management. Endoscopic techniques cannot adequately address the need for thorough drainage and debridement of infected and necrotic tissue. Surgical approaches, which provide direct access to the contaminated areas, are required to achieve effective debridement and prevent ongoing infection.[6,7]

Underlying esophageal pathology

The presence of significant underlying esophageal pathology, such as malignancy, severe stricture, or advanced esophagitis, complicates the endoscopic management of Boerhaave syndrome. These conditions can impede the ability of endoscopic devices to adequately close the perforation and may necessitate a more extensive surgical approach. Additionally, the presence of malignancy requires oncologic surgical principles that cannot be addressed endoscopically.[6,7]

Inaccessible perforation site

The anatomical location of the esophageal perforation can render it inaccessible for endoscopic intervention. Perforations in the distal esophagus near the gastroesophageal junction or those extending into the gastric cardia may present technical challenges that preclude successful endoscopic repair. Similarly, perforations in the cervical esophagus may also be difficult to access endoscopically and may require a surgical approach.[6,7]

Severe coagulopathy

Patients with severe coagulopathy are at increased risk for bleeding complications during endoscopic procedures. The manipulation of the esophageal tissue and the

placement of endoscopic devices can precipitate significant bleeding in patients with impaired coagulation. In such cases, surgical management allows for better control of hemostasis and direct visualization of the bleeding site.[7,8]

Severe pulmonary or cardiovascular comorbidities

The presence of severe pulmonary or cardiovascular comorbidities can contraindicate the use of endoscopic techniques due to the risks associated with sedation and the procedure itself. Conditions such as severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), congestive heart failure, or significant coronary artery disease can increase the risk of peri-procedural complications. Surgical intervention, with the availability of intraoperative monitoring and critical care support, may be more appropriate for these patients.[7,8]

Failure of previous endoscopic attempts

In cases where initial endoscopic attempts at managing Boerhaave syndrome have failed, further endoscopic intervention is generally contraindicated. Persistent leakage/recurrent perforation following initial endoscopic procedure indicates need for definitive surgical repair to prevent ongoing morbidity and mortality.[7,8]

Lack of expertise and equipment

Successful endoscopic management of Boerhaave syndrome requires specialized expertise and advanced equipment. Institutions lacking experienced endoscopists or the necessary endoscopic tools, such as OTSC systems or esophageal stents, are not equipped to offer endoscopic intervention. In such settings, surgical management remains the standard of care to ensure patient safety and optimal outcomes.[7,8]

Contraindications for endoscopic management of Boerhaave syndrome encompass a range of clinical and anatomical factors that impact the feasibility and safety of endoscopic techniques. Late presentation with extensive contamination, hemodynamic instability, large perforations, extensive mediastinal/pleural contamination, underlying esophageal pathology, inaccessible perforation sites, severe coagulopathy, severe pulmonary or cardiovascular comorbidities, failure of previous endoscopic attempts, and lack of expertise and equipment are critical considerations. Recognizing these contraindications is essential for guiding clinical decision-making and ensuring that patients receive the most appropriate and effective treatment for this life-threatening condition.[7,8]

MEDICAL COMPLICATIONS OF ENDOSCOPIC MANAGEMENT IN BOERHAAVE SYNDROME

Endoscopic management of Boerhaave syndrome offers a minimally invasive alternative to traditional surgical approaches. However, this method is not without risks and potential complications. Understanding these complications is essential for clinicians to optimize patient outcomes and make informed decisions. This section provides an in-depth discussion of the medical complications associated with the endoscopic management of Boerhaave syndrome, using detailed medical terminology.[7,8]

Esophageal leak and persistent perforation

One of the primary complications of endoscopic management is the failure to achieve complete closure of the esophageal perforation, leading to persistent leakage. Inadequate sealing can result from improper stent placement, migration of the stent, or insufficient tissue approximation with clips or sutures. Persistent perforation perpetuates contamination, leading to ongoing mediastinitis, sepsis, and the need for further intervention.[7,8]

Stent-related complications

Esophageal stenting is a common endoscopic technique used to manage Boerhaave syndrome, but it is associated with several complications:

Stent migration: Stents can migrate from their intended position, causing recurrence of the perforation and potentially obstructing the gastrointestinal tract. Migration is more common in the absence of adequate anchoring or in cases with significant esophageal motility.[9,10]

Stent occlusion: Obstruction of the stent by food or debris can impede its function, leading to dysphagia and risk of perforation recurrence.[9,10]

Stent-related ulceration: Prolonged stent placement can cause pressure necrosis and ulceration of the esophageal mucosa, leading to secondary perforations or fistula formation.[9,10]

Infection and sepsis

Despite being a less invasive approach, endoscopic management carries a risk of infection. Manipulation of the esophageal tissue can introduce pathogens, and incomplete closure of the perforation can lead to continued leakage and mediastinitis. Infections can manifest as localized abscesses or systemic sepsis, necessitating antibiotic therapy and potentially surgical drainage.[9,10]

Esophageal stricture formation

Healing after endoscopic intervention can result in fibrotic scar formation and esophageal strictures. Strictures can cause dysphagia and require repeated dilations or additional interventions to restore normal

esophageal patency. The risk of stricture formation is heightened by prolonged stent placement and the extent of mucosal injury during the procedure.[9,10]

Bleeding and hemorrhage

Endoscopic procedures involve manipulation and possible trauma to the esophageal mucosa, which can lead to bleeding. The risk of hemorrhage is increased in patients with coagulopathies or those receiving anticoagulant therapy. Significant bleeding can necessitate blood transfusions, endoscopic hemostasis, or surgical intervention.[9,10]

Perforation extension

In some cases, the initial perforation may extend due to mechanical stress during endoscopic procedures. The use of devices such as clips, stents, or vacuum-assisted systems can exacerbate the perforation, leading to a larger defect that is more challenging to manage and may ultimately require surgical repair.[9,10]

Tracheoesophageal fistula

A rare but serious complication is the development of a tracheoesophageal fistula, where an abnormal connection forms between the esophagus and trachea. This can result from pressure necrosis or direct injury during the endoscopic procedure. Fistulas can cause severe respiratory complications, including aspiration pneumonia, and typically require surgical correction.[9,10]

Foreign body reaction

The introduction of foreign materials, such as stents or clips, can provoke a local inflammatory response. This foreign body reaction can exacerbate tissue edema, impede healing, and potentially lead to secondary infections or migration of the endoscopic devices.[9,10]

Anastomotic leaks in post-surgical patients

In cases where endoscopic intervention is used as an adjunct to surgical repair, anastomotic leaks can occur at the site of surgical anastomosis. These leaks are particularly problematic in patients who have undergone esophageal resection and reconstruction. Endoscopic techniques may be used to manage these leaks, but their presence complicates the postoperative course and may necessitate additional surgical intervention.[9,10]

Esophageal perforation during procedure

Although rare, the endoscopic procedure itself can cause iatrogenic esophageal perforation. The risk is higher in patients with friable or weakened esophageal tissue due to underlying pathology or previous interventions. Iatrogenic perforation exacerbates the existing condition and complicates management.[9,10]

Failure of endoscopic therapy

Not all patients respond favorably to endoscopic management, and failure of therapy is a significant complication. This failure can manifest as persistent symptoms, lack of healing, or worsening of the clinical condition. In such cases, surgical intervention becomes necessary, often under more challenging conditions due to the delay in definitive treatment.[11,12]

Aspiration pneumonia

Endoscopic procedures, especially in patients with esophageal perforations, carry a risk of aspiration pneumonia. The presence of a perforation increases the likelihood of gastric contents entering the respiratory tract during sedation or the procedure itself. Aspiration pneumonia can lead to severe respiratory distress and requires prompt medical management.[13,14]

Pain and discomfort

Patients undergoing endoscopic management may experience significant pain and discomfort post-procedure. This can result from the presence of stents, clips, or other devices, as well as from the inflammation and edema associated with the intervention. Adequate pain management and supportive care are essential components of post-procedural care.[15]

In conclusion, while endoscopic management of Boerhaave syndrome offers a less invasive alternative to surgery, it is associated with a range of potential complications. These include esophageal leak, stent-related issues, infection, stricture formation, bleeding, perforation extension, tracheoesophageal fistula, foreign body reaction, anastomotic leaks, iatrogenic perforation, failure of therapy, aspiration pneumonia, and post-procedural pain. Understanding these complications is crucial for clinicians to provide comprehensive care, anticipate potential issues, and implement appropriate interventions to optimize patient outcomes.

CONCLUSION

The endoscopic approach to managing Boerhaave syndrome represents a significant advancement in the treatment of this life-threatening condition. Boerhaave syndrome, characterized by spontaneous esophageal perforation, traditionally necessitated surgical intervention, which, while effective, was often associated with high morbidity and mortality due to the invasive nature of the procedure and the critical condition of the patients. The advent of endoscopic techniques has provided a minimally invasive alternative that holds promise for reducing the complications and improving the outcomes associated with this severe pathology. Future directions in the endoscopic management of Boerhaave syndrome involve the refinement of existing techniques and the development of new technologies.

Enhanced stent designs to minimize migration and improve sealing, advanced clipping devices, and novel tissue adhesives are areas of active research. Moreover, the integration of endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) guidance and other imaging modalities can further enhance the precision and efficacy of endoscopic interventions. Clinical trials and larger case series are needed to establish standardized protocols and validate the long-term outcomes of these approaches. In conclusion, the endoscopic management of Boerhaave syndrome represents a paradigm shift in the treatment of esophageal perforations. It offers a less invasive option with the potential for reduced morbidity and quicker recovery compared to traditional surgical methods. However, careful patient selection, awareness of potential complications, and a multidisciplinary approach are essential to achieving optimal outcomes. As the field continues to evolve, ongoing research and technological advancements will further enhance the efficacy and safety of endoscopic techniques, solidifying their role in the management of this formidable clinical entity.

Funding: No funding sources
Conflict of interest: None declared
Ethical approval: Not required

REFERENCES

1. Brauer RB, Liebermann-Meffert D, Stein HJ, Bartels H, Siewert JR. Boerhaave's syndrome: analysis of the literature and report of 18 new cases. Dis Esophagus. 1997;10(1):64-8.
2. Brinster CJ, Singhal S, Lee L, Marshall MB, Kaiser LR, Kucharczuk JC. Evolving options in the management of esophageal perforation. Ann Thorac Surg. 2004;77(4):1475-83.
3. Pate JW, Walker WA, Cole Jr FH, Owen EW, Johnson WH. Spontaneous rupture of the esophagus: a 30-year experience. Ann Thorac Surg. 1989;47(5):689-92.
4. Barakat MT, Girotra M, Banerjee S. (Re)building the wall: recurrent Boerhaave syndrome managed by over-the-scope clip and covered metallic stent placement. Dig Dis Sci. 2018;63(5):1139-42.
5. Saha A, Jarvis M, Thorpe JA, O'Regan DJ. Atypical presentation of Boerhaave's syndrome as Enterococcal bacterial pericardial effusion. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg. 2007;6(1):130-2.
6. de Schipper JP, Pull ter Gunne AF, Oostvogel HJ, van Laarhoven CJ. Spontaneous rupture of the oesophagus: Boerhaave's syndrome in 2008. Literature review and treatment algorithm. Dig Surg. 2009;26(1):1-6.
7. Ivey TD, Simonowitz DA, Dillard DH, Miller DW. Boerhaave syndrome. Successful conservative management in three patients with late presentation. Am J Surg. 1981;141(5):531-3.
8. Vyslouzil K, Kral V, Neoral C, Klementa I, Blahut L, Konecný M. Surgical treatment of spontaneous esophageal perforation-Boerhaave syndrome. Rozhl Chir. 2000;79(11):521e523.
9. Dickinson KJ, Buttar N, Wong Kee Song LM, Gostout CJ, Cassivi SD, Allen MS, et al. Utility of endoscopic therapy in the management of Boerhaave syndrome. Endosc Int Open. 2016;4(11):E1146-50.
10. Tellechea JI, Gonzalez JM, Miranda-García P, Adrian C, Xavier BDJ, Pascal AT, et al. Role of endoscopy in the management of Boerhaave syndrome. Clin Endosc. 2018;51(2):186-91.
11. Biancari F, D'Andrea V, Paone R, Carlo Di M, Grazia S, Vesa K, et al. Current treatment and outcome of esophageal perforations in adults: systematic review and meta-analysis of 75 studies. World J Surg. 2013;37(5):1051-9.
12. Janjua KJ. Boerhaave's syndrome. Postgrad Med. 1997;73(859):265-70.
13. Schweigert M, Beattie R, Solymosi N, Karen B, Attila D, Andrew M, et al. Endoscopic stent insertion versus primary operative management for sponta neous rupture of the esophagus (Boerhaave syndrome): an international study comparing the outcome. Am Surg. 2013;79(6):634-40.
14. Homs MY, Steyerberg EW, Eijkenboom WM, Hugo WT, Lukas JAS, Joep FWMB, et al. Single dose brachytherapy versus metal stent placement for the palliation of dysphagia from oesophageal cancer: multicentre randomised trial. Lancet. 2004;364(9444):1497-504.
15. Schubert D, Scheidbach H, Kuhn R, Cora W, Guenter W, Frank E, et al. Endoscopic treatment of thoracic esophageal anastomotic leaks by using silicone-covered, self-expanding polyester stents. Gastrointest Endosc. 2005;61(7):891-6.

Cite this article as: Nájera SKR, Solís EIH, Cruz EJG. Endoscopic management of Boerhaave syndrome: a comprehensive review of techniques, outcomes and future directions. Int Surg J 2024;11:1725-30.