Case Report

Rectal prolapse: rare presentation and complication
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ABSTRACT

An 86-year-old female presented with the first episode of an incarcerated full thickness rectal prolapse, concerning for ischemia of the prolapsed segment. Intra-operatively, the patient was noted to have an enterocele containing a 20-25 cm segment of strangulated and perforated small bowel. She underwent a perineal rectosigmoidectomy (alteemeier procedure) with levatorplasty followed by a small bowel resection and anastomosis trans-abdominally.
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INTRODUCTION

Rectal prolapse is an uncommon condition with an estimated prevalence of 0.5%, however its incidence increases to 1% after age 65 due to age related connective tissue and neuromuscular changes.1-3 It carries a female predominance (especially if multiparous), in whom the prevalence increases to 2.9%.3 Acute presentation of a strangulated rectal prolapse is even rarer. An enterocele associated with rectal prolapse is mostly seen in the setting of recurrent episodes, prior perineal surgery, chemotherapy or pelvic radiation.4,5 We herein present a rare case of a strangulated loop of small bowel within an enterocele associated with an incarcerated rectal prolapse requiring emergent surgery via both perineal and abdominal approaches.

CASE REPORT

Patient is an 86-year-old female with past medical history of diet-controlled hypertension, dementia, and remote past surgical history of hemorrhoidectomy and hysterectomy. She had a BMI of 26.7 kg/m² and was a former smoker with a 30-pack year history. A history of prolonged or difficult childbirth was not available due to the patient’s baseline dementia. Patient presented to the emergency department with symptoms of nausea, rectal and abdominal pain and examination revealed the first episode of a full-thickness rectal prolapse precipitated by straining during defeation (Figure 1).

Figure 1: Presentation of rectal prolapse with dusky and mottled appearance of bowel wall concerning for impending ischemia.

The prolapse remained incarcerated despite attempts at manual reduction with the mucosa appearing increasingly congested and concerning for bowel ischemia. Pertinent
lab values included mildly elevated white blood cell count of 12,600/mm³ and metabolic acidosis with serum bicarbonate of 16 mmol/L. Given the failure of manual reduction and concern for rectal viability, a perineal rectosigmoidectomy (altemeier procedure) was planned.

A 30-cm segment of small bowel was resected (Figure 3) followed by an end to end stapled anastomosis. The postoperative course was uneventful with the diet advanced to a clear liquid diet on post-operative day one and regular diet by post-operative day three, when she was discharged.

**DISCUSSION**

To our knowledge, this is the only case reported to date of an adult with an incarcerated rectal prolapse with strangulated small bowel in a patient without any predisposing risk factors. A thorough literature review of a similar presentation of rectal prolapse is shown in Table 1.

A total of six cases are reported in the literature, however, there are notable differences. Only four of the six were adult patients, all aged 78 years or older, with three of the four presenting with small bowel ischemia needing resection. The adult patient not needing resection had an enterocele with subsequent perforation of the rectal wall during attempts at manual reduction, resulting in ileal evasion.

Ours is the only case with a primary presentation of strangulated small bowel within a rectal prolapse. Of note, this presentation is associated with a 50% mortality rate in the literature so far. Our patient continues to do well at home 3 months after the procedure.

While a presentation of small bowel perineal prolapse has been associated with certain risk factors in previous cases, our patient did not have any reported. These risk factors include obesity, extensive perineal surgery, connective tissue compromise due to straining and recurrent pelvic organ prolapse, chemotherapy or radiation. Given the intra-operative findings of a large enterocele, widely patulous anus and absence of a puborectalis sling, which are all markers of severe pelvic floor weakness, it is likely that our patient had some degree of pelvic floor dysfunction prior to presentation.

Social embarrassment has been shown as a cause of this condition being under-reported to health care providers. The Oxford Pelvic Floor Center emphasizes the importance of detailed history, physical exam and functional scoring in addition to diagnostic work up in the assessment and decision making process for rectal prolapse. Such an approach could lead to earlier recognition and decreased incidence of complicated presentations of rectal prolapse, as in this case, leading to decreased morbidity. The surgical approach to rectal prolapse has been the topic of much debate in the literature as well. The perineal approach offers a viable surgical approach to high risk patients but with a higher recurrence rate, as opposed to the abdominal approach. In the presence of possible rectal ischemia, a perineal rectosigmoidectomy is the only available option, as was in our case. The subsequent intra-operative discovery of an incarcerated and perforated small bowel within the rectal prolapse necessitated a laparotomy. In an average-risk patient and the absence of bowel ischemia, an abdominal approach is preferred. We have
shown that a dual abdominal and perineal approach can be undertaken safely in a high-risk patient with impending sepsis from strangulated small bowel and rectum.

CONCLUSION

We report a rare case of incarcerated rectal prolapse complicated by an enterocoele with strangulated small bowel in a patient without any predisposing risk factors (obesity, prior perineal surgery, chemotherapy or local radiation), requiring emergent surgery via both perineal and abdominal approaches.
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